UWC Observations Form

2, 1, 5,	
What What What What What What What What	
2,	.7
2.	œ
	.0
	.0
	Ξ.
	iэ
3	

	Gave reader response (i.e., shared questions, thoughts, and responses the tutor had while reading)
	Listened to the writer read the paper aloud
	Modeled proofreading techniques
	Modeled writing techniques (e.g., transitions, sentence phrasing, citation, formatting)
	Read the paper aloud
	Read the paper silently
	Sought help from colleagues
	Took notes for the writer
	Used the point/predict method (i.e., after reading a paragraph, summarized its main point and then predicted the next paragraph's content)
	Used fading/scaffolding (i.e., offered more or less support depending on the writer's ability to work independently, in order to empower the writer)
	Other:
13. Wha	13. What kinds of questions did the consultant ask? (Select all that apply.) Inauthentic questions (e.g., directives disguised as questions, like "Don't you think you need an article here?")
	Questions to clarify meaning or intention (e.g., "What did you mean here?")
	Questions to stimulate the writer's thinking
	Questions to help the writer make effective rhetorical choices (i.e., questions about purpose, audience, context)
	Questions to help the tutor understand the assignment, genre, discipline, etc.
	Questions about the client's writing process
	Other: (4)
14. We	Were the inauthentic questions appropriate and helpful?
	Yes
	Maybe
	No

Page 1 of 4

Page 2 of 4

Prepare the writer for the next steps after leaving	Assess or check in with the writer during the session	Prompt a demonstration of learning	Select appropriate tutoring techniques	Collaboratively set an agenda	Diagnose writer's needs	Establish rapport with the writer	15. How effect
Ο	0	0	0	0	0	Ο	Very effective Moderately Slightly Not effective at Not present effective all
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Moderately effective
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Slightly effective
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not effective at all
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not present

16. Any additional comments about how effectively the consultant followed the UWC's tutoring protocol?

17. How effective was the consultant at the following? (Add comments as appropriate.)

View official Moderately Slightly Not effective at Not applicable

∪sing internal	Heing internal	Challenging writers to apply and demonstrate their learning	Focusing on future application	Reading the client's nonverbal cues	Selecting appropriate strategies and exhibiting flexibility	Managing time effectively	Avoiding making assumptions about the writer	Explaining clearly the rationale for changes	Fostering the writer's confidence	Fostering the writer's autonomy	Balancing the writer's requests with the tutor's priorities	
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Very effective
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	effective
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	effective
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	all
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not applicable

18. What else about the consultation was effective?

19. What else about the consultation was ineffective?