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## Executive Summary

The Office of Institutional Research has collected and reported electronic data on faculty since 1986. The purpose of this study of instructional faculty is to explore the ways the JMU faculty have changed since 1986 and provide useful information to the university community. As a result of these analyses, several important trends are noteworthy.

- The number of full-time faculty has grown at a higher rate than increases in students. The percentage of faculty with tenure increased from 66 percent in 1986-87 to 72 percent in 1991-92, declined to 45 percent in 2005-06, but has increased to 57 percent in 2015-16. The college with the highest percent of tenured faculty is Visual and

The number of full-time faculty has grown at a faster rate than increases in students. Performing Arts. Since 1986-87 the number of FTE students per full-time faculty decreased by two percentage points. The number of full-time faculty has grown at a faster rate than increases in students.

- The percentage of faculty holding a terminal degree increased from 70 percent in 1986 to 75 percent in 2015 , but is down from the high of 85 percent in 1997. The percentage of female faculty with a terminal degree increased from 51 percent in 1986 to 70 percent in 2015.
- Thirty-one percent of the fall 2015 faculty had worked at JMU five years or less. This percentage has changed little since 1990 when it was 32 percent. The median years (middle) of JMU experience declined from 11 in 1990 to 10 in 2015. Faculty with 26 or more years of experience increased from six percent in 1990 to eight percent in 2015. Sixty-one percent $(\mathrm{N}=611)$ of JMU faculty began their employment during the Rose presidency

Sixty-one percent of new tenuretrack assistant professors were awarded tenure within seven years. This percentage has varied little over the last 20 years. (1998 to 2012), 22 percent ( $\mathrm{N}=220$ ) during the Carrier years, 17 percent since President Alger became president, and four were hired during the Miller presidency (first year 1965).

- Sixty-one percent of new tenure-track assistant professors were awarded tenure within seven years. This percentage has varied little over the last 20 years. Ninety-four percent of those who earned tenure were employed 10 years after joining the faculty.
- Annual percentage changes in the average JMU faculty salary since 1986-87 ranged from 10.1 percent in 1989-90 to -1.8 percent in

In 2015-16 benefits accounted for 40.1 percent of total compensation, up from 25.1 percent in 1986-87. This is due to higher medical costs and VRS contributions. 1992-93. Annual percentage changes in total compensation (salary + fringe benefits) ranged
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from 10.8 percent in 1989-90 to -4.0 percent $^{1}$ in 1991-92. In 2015-16, total compensation increased by 6.9 percent. In 2015-16 benefits accounted for 40.1 percent of total compensation, up from 25.1 percent in 1986-87. This primarily reflects increasing medical costs borne by the Commonwealth and additional funds to ensure the long-term viability of the Virginia Retirement System.

- The five-year percentage retention rate of new non-tenure track faculty has increased in recent years to the 60 s while the retention rate of faculty that were either tenure-track or tenured upon first employment at JMU has remained steady at approximately 80 percent.
- The most recent student-to-faculty ratio, 16.2:1, remains among the lowest in the last 20 years, down from 19.2:1 in 1997. The percentage of total Fall 2015 credit hours taught by full-time faculty was approximately 79 percent. This percentage has changed very little since Fall 1991.
- The retention of new faculty appears to be related to the type of position into which they were hired (tenured/tenure track vs non-tenure track). The percentage of new tenure-track faculty that were still employed at JMU four years after initial employment was 73 percent for the Fall 2000 faculty and 89 percent for the Fall 2011 new faculty. New non-tenure track faculty retention after four years decreased from 51 percent in Fall 2001 to 42 percent in Fall 2011.
- Since 1991-92 JMU's proportion of full-time faculty who are female increased from 38 percent to 47 percent. The proportion of faculty who are female is likely to continue to increase because the number of women enrolled in higher education is higher than men. The national proportion of female faculty is now 48 percent.
- While full-time faculty teach the vast majority of credit hours, full-time faculty are less likely than in 1996 to teach lower division (100- and 200-level) sections.
- Since 1986 the average full-time faculty salary increased by 133 percent ( $\$ 33,225$ to $\$ 77,398$ while total compensation (salary + benefits) increased by 161 percent ( $\$ 41,564$ to $\$ 108,471$ ).
- Benefits as a percentage of total compensation have increased by 15 percentage points to 40.1 percent since 1986-87. This percentage increase since 2013-14 is due to the institutions being required to contribute additional funds to ensure the long-term viability of the Virginia Retirement System.
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## A Faculty in Transition

## Introduction

James Madison University is a very dynamic institution that has experienced significant changes in many areas in the past 27 years. The on-campus headcount increased from 9,757 in 1986 to 20,343 in 2015. Majors were added or expanded as needed. Additional faculty have been hired to meet enrollment demands for the new or expanded majors.

The Office of Institutional Research (OIR) is responsible for collecting and reporting data on JMU's faculty to the Commonwealth of Virginia (e.g., SCHEV) and the federal government (e.g., IPEDS) along with organizations such as the American Association of University Professors (AAUP) and the College and University Professional Association (CUPA). In 1986, OIR created an electronic database of faculty to facilitate the analyses of faculty data and respond faster to various internal and external constituencies. Demographic changes occur slowly at JMU, so it is important to review long-term demographic data to discover meaningful change. This database continues to be an invaluable warehouse of information about faculty and enables an exploration of long-term changes in the faculty.

Institutional Research biennially reviews the changes in JMU's faculty to shed light on trends that may affect policy and help senior administrators to better understand the needs of JMU's faculty. This is the $13^{\text {th }}$ report in this series and covers the period from fall 1986 to fall 2015

## Research Questions

While there are many questions that could be asked about the changes in JMU's faculty, three primary research questions are addressed in this study.

1. How have faculty demographics (percent tenured, percent with terminal degree, race/ethnicity, gender, etc.) changed since 1986? How closely do these trends mirror the changes that have occurred nationally?
2. How well have faculty salaries and compensation kept pace with inflation? How closely do these trends mirror the changes that have occurred nationally?
3. How are faculty resources allocated for instruction?

## Methodology

The Office of Institutional Research has reported salary statistics to the Commonwealth of Virginia (SCHEV), the federal government (IPEDS), and selected outside organizations (AAUP, CUPA) since the early 1970s. Since 1986, these data have been stored in electronic databases maintained by OIR. This 30 -year collection of official JMU data is an invaluable resource for analyzing the changes in JMU's faculty and uncovering meaningful trends. The data are electronically stored in Microsoft Access ${ }^{\circledR}$ tables. Standard queries and reports are developed in Access ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Tableau ${ }^{\circledR}$ to analyze these data for this report.

Where possible data were taken directly from tables in OIR's Statistical Summaries. One of the original objectives for creating this report was to bring together in one document data already published by the university in various places.

While it is recognized that many librarians are considered to be faculty and can obtain tenure, standard definitions of faculty for national data collections do not include them in the definition of instructional faculty. Therefore, librarians are not included in this study.

## Results

## Demographics

Changes occur, but often cannot be identified and understood unless one considers several years of data. Many important changes in the demographics of JMU's instructional faculty have occurred since 1986. This section displays tables and graphs that highlight these changes. Tables 1 and 2 display information about the number of faculty and tenure status. Tables 3 and 4 display information about the number of faculty who possess terminal degrees.

## Table 1

Full-Time Instructional Faculty by Tenure Status and Per FTE Student ${ }^{2}$


Table 1 shows that the number of faculty more than doubled between 1986 and 2015, actually outpacing enrollment growth during the same period. The number of full-time equivalent students (FTES) increased by 101 percent and the number of full-time faculty per FTE student decreased by
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2.0 percentage points. The fall 2015 student-to-faculty ratio was 16.2 to 1 . The percentage of faculty with tenure varied somewhat during these 30 years. It increased from 66 percent in 1986 to 72 percent in 1991. By fall 2005, the percentage of tenured faculty had steadily declined to 45 . In 2015, the percentage of tenured faculty had increased to 57 percent. The percentage of full-time non-tenure track positions increased from a low of nine percent in 2001-02 to a high of 26 percent in 2002-03. In 2015-16 the percentage declined to 23 percent. As shown in Table 2, the percent of tenured faculty varies by college from 43 percent in Education to a high of 65 percent in Visual and Performing Arts.


Table 2
Number of Full-Time Instructional Faculty and Tenure Status by College, Fall 20153

|  | Number Tenured By Rank |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College | Number Faculty | Assistant <br> Professor | Associate <br> Professor | Professor | Total | Percent <br> Tenured |
| Arts \& Letters | 262 | 0 | 80 | 64 | 144 | 55\% |
| Business | 141 | 1 | 30 | 46 | 77 | 55\% |
| Education | 57 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 29 | 51\% |
| Health and Behavioral Studies | 161 | 0 | 35 | 54 | 89 | 55\% |
| Integrated Science \& Engineering | 81 | 0 | 18 | 31 | 49 | 60\% |
| Science \& Mathematics | 161 | 0 | 40 | 52 | 92 | 57\% |
| Visual \& Performing Arts | 93 | 0 | 30 | 38 | 68 | 73\% |
| University Studies | 31 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 45\% |
| Other | 15 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 45\% |
| Total | 1002 | 1 | 260 | 307 | 568 | 57\% |

## Table 3

Number and Percentage of Faculty Holding Terminal Degree ${ }^{4}$

|  | Number of Faculty |  | Number with Terminal Degree |  | Percenetage <br> Terminal Degree |  | Percent <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1986-87 | 331 | 119 | 256 | 61 | 77\% | 51\% | 70\% |
| 1987-88 | 332 | 119 | 256 | 63 | 77\% | 53\% | 71\% |
| 1988-89 | 337 | 133 | 265 | 72 | 79\% | 54\% | 72\% |
| 1989-90 | 333 | 138 | 268 | 76 | 80\% | 55\% | 73\% |
| 1990-91 | 330 | 142 | 272 | 80 | 82\% | 56\% | 75\% |
| 1991-92 | 335 | 142 | 282 | 93 | 84\% | 65\% | 79\% |
| 1992-93 | 342 | 150 | 285 | 105 | 83\% | 70\% | 79\% |
| 1993-94 | 348 | 160 | 295 | 116 | 85\% | 73\% | 81\% |
| 1994-95 | 349 | 171 | 299 | 120 | 86\% | 70\% | 81\% |
| 1995-96 | 354 | 174 | 305 | 131 | 86\% | 75\% | 83\% |
| 1996-97 | 369 | 190 | 312 | 137 | 85\% | 72\% | 80\% |
| 1997-98 | 378 | 203 | 330 | 165 | 87\% | 81\% | 85\% |
| 1998-99 | 394 | 223 | 341 | 178 | 87\% | 80\% | 84\% |
| 1999-00 | 402 | 238 | 350 | 179 | 87\% | 75\% | 83\% |
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## Table 3(Continued)

Number and Percentage of Faculty Holding Terminal Degree

|  | Number of Faculty |  | Number with Terminal Degree |  | Percenetage Terminal Degree |  | Percent <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 2000-01 | 422 | 253 | 359 | 189 | 85\% | 75\% | 81\% |
| 2001-02 | 426 | 259 | 366 | 199 | 86\% | 77\% | 82\% |
| 2002-03 | 436 | 268 | 381 | 207 | 87\% | 77\% | 84\% |
| 2003-04 | 438 | 283 | 376 | 215 | 86\% | 76\% | 82\% |
| 2004-05 | 444 | 305 | 379 | 229 | 85\% | 75\% | 81\% |
| 2005-06 | 465 | 330 | 391 | 246 | 84\% | 75\% | 80\% |
| 2006-07 | 472 | 359 | 389 | 268 | 82\% | 75\% | 79\% |
| 2007-08 | 479 | 375 | 400 | 282 | 84\% | 75\% | 80\% |
| 2008-09 | 488 | 409 | 400 | 300 | 82\% | $73 \%$ | 78\% |
| 2009-10 | 494 | 412 | 407 | 303 | 82\% | 74\% | 78\% |
| 2010-11 | 496 | 410 | 402 | 305 | 81\% | 74\% | 78\% |
| 2011-12 | 501 | 423 | 416 | 312 | 83\% | 74\% | 79\% |
| 2012-13 | 484 | 446 | 396 | 298 | 83\% | 67\% | 74\% |
| 2013-14 | 499 | 461 | 395 | 314 | 82\% | 68\% | 74\% |
| 2014-15 | 514 | 479 | 405 | 331 | 79\% | 69\% | 74\% |
| 2015-16 | 527 | 475 | 421 | 334 | 80\% | 70\% | 75\% |
| Change | 196 | 356 | 165 | 273 | 3\% | 19\% | 5\% |

The percentage of faculty with a terminal degree increased by four percentage points between 1986 and 2015. The major change in the faculty has been the dramatic increase in female faculty.
Since 1986:

- Female faculty increased by 299 percent while male faculty increased by 59 percent.
- Females with a terminal degree increased by 448 percent while males increased by 64 percent.
- The percentage of females with a terminal degree increased by 19 percentage points ( 51 percent to 70 percent) while males increased by three percentage points, reducing the gap between males and females holding terminal degrees from 26 to 10 percentage points.
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Figure 2 displays the percentage changes by year as was described above.


## Table 4

Number and Percentage of Faculty Holding Terminal Degree by College, Fall $2015^{5}$

|  | Number of Faculty |  | Faculty with Terminal Degree |  | Percentage <br> Terminal Degree |  | Percentage <br> Holding Terminal Degree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |
| Arts \& Letters | 140 | 122 | 119 | 86 | 85\% | 70\% | 78\% |
| Business | 97 | 44 | 68 | 25 | 70\% | 57\% | 66\% |
| Education | 15 | 42 | 12 | 33 | 80\% | 79\% | 79\% |
| The Graduate School | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Health \& Behavioral Studies | 45 | 116 | 39 | 81 | 87\% | 70\% | 75\% |
| Integrated Science \& Engineering | 59 | 22 | 53 | 20 | 90\% | 91\% | 90\% |
| Science \& Mathematics | 97 | 64 | 88 | 55 | 91\% | 86\% | 89\% |
| Visual \& Performing Arts | 55 | 38 | 28 | 17 | 51\% | 45\% | 48\% |
| University Studies | 12 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 83\% | 68\% | 74\% |
| Academic \& Administrative | 6 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Grand Total | 527 | 475 | 421 | 334 | 80\% | 70\% | 75\% |

The percentage of faculty holding terminal degrees increased from 70 percent in 1986 to 75 percent in 2015. The year with the highest percentage of terminal-degreed instructional faculty was 1997 (85
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percent). The percentage with a terminal degree has been trending downward since the early 2000s. The percentage of faculty holding a terminal degree ranges from 90 percent in Integrated Science \& Engineering to 48 percent in Visual and Performing Arts.
Tables 5 and 6 display instructional faculty by gender and ethnic distributions. Beginning with 2010, race and ethnicity data were collected according to the new federal categories, making comparisons with previous years problematic. Table 5 displays gender distributions since 1986. Table 7 displays the changes in the number of 10 - and 12-month faculty. Tables 8,9 , and 10 display information about years of employment and age of the faculty.
Table 5
Gender and Ethnic Origin, Instructional Faculty ${ }^{6}$

| Fall |  | $\sum_{i}^{\frac{0}{n}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哥 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { O} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 457 | 337 | 120 | 26\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |
| 2000 | 675 | 422 | 253 | 38\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |
| 2001 | 685 | 426 | 259 | 38\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |
| 2002 | 707 | 439 | 268 | 38\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |
| 2003 | 723 | 440 | 283 | 39\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |
| 2004 | 749 | 444 | 305 | 41\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |
| 2005 | 796 | 465 | 331 | 42\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |
| 2006 | 831 | 472 | 359 | 43\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| 2007 | 854 | 479 | 375 | 44\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |
| 2008 | 897 | 488 | 409 | 46\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| 2009 | 906 | 494 | 412 | 46\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| 2010 | 906 | 496 | 410 | 45\% | 24 | 1 | 31 | 762 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 24 | 47 |
| 2011 | 924 | 501 | 423 | 46\% | 25 | 1 | 34 | 769 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 25 | 52 |
| 2012 | 940 | 494 | 446 | 47\% | 21 | 1 | 39 | 776 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 25 | 57 |
| 2013 | 960 | 499 | 461 | 48\% | 23 | 1 | 45 | 782 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 23 | 58 |
| 2014 | 994 | 514 | 480 | 48\% | 27 | 3 | 47 | 794 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 30 | 60 |
| 2015 | 1002 | 527 | 475 | 47\% | 26 | 3 | 49 | 802 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 26 | 61 |

The most obvious change since 1986 is in the dramatic increase in number and percentage of female faculty. Since 1986 male faculty increased by 190 ( $56 \%$ ), but female faculty increased by $295 \%$ from 120 to 475 . Female faculty in 2015 represented $47 \%$ of all faculty, up from $26 \%$ in 1986.

[^4]Note: The federal race/ethnicity categories changed beginning with 2010, so the data prior to 2010 are not presented.

Caucasian faculty represent $88 \%$ of all faculty who are citizens or resident aliens and who reported their race/ethnicity (federal definition).

Figure 3
Changes in Male and Female Faculty Since 1986
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## Table 6

Gender and Ethnic Origin, Instructional Faculty, Fall $2015^{7}$

| College |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\widetilde{\pi}} \\ & \underset{y}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts \& Letters | 262 | 140 (53\%) | 122 (47\%) | 4 | 1 | 10 | 199 | 10 | 6 | 23 | 9 | 13\% |
| Business | 141 | 97 (69\%) | 44 (31\%) | 7 |  | 9 | 109 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 16\% |
| Education | 57 | 15 (26\%) | 42 (74\%) | 1 |  | 2 | 46 | 6 | 0 | 2 |  | 16\% |
| Health \& Behavioral Studies | 161 | 45 (28\%) | 116 (72\%) | 2 | 2 | 5 | 136 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 7\% |
| Integrated Science \& Engineering | 80 | 59 (74\%) | 21 (26\%) | 5 |  | 4 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 13\% |
| Science \& Mathematics | 160 | 97 (61\%) | 63 (39\%) | 3 |  | 15 | 131 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 14\% |
| Visual \& Performing Arts | 93 | 55 (59\%) | 38 (41\%) | 3 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 11\% |
| University Studies | 30 | 12 (40\%) | 18 (60\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| Other | 18 | 7 (39\%) | 11 (61\%) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13\% |
| Grand Total | 1,002 | 527 (53\%) | 475 (47\%) | 26 | 3 | 49 | 802 | 27 | 8 | 62 | 25 | 12\% |

* Federal Definition (African American + American Indian + Asian + Hispanic + Multi-Race) divided by (African American + American Indian + Asian + White + Hispanic + Multi-Race) Does not include Non-Resident Aliens or Unknown/Unreported.
** It should be noted that 15 Non-Resident Aliens reported themselves in one of the non-white categories, but cannot be considered
multicultural by federal definitions.
In 1987, the number of months in which department heads were contractually employed increased from 10 to 12 months to compensate them for increased duties associated with a management position, especially academic department heads. As shown in Table 7, seven percent of all instructional faculty were employed 12 months in 1987. By 2015, this had increased to 10 percent. Between 1987 and 2015, the percentage of faculty on 10 -month contracts doubled while 12 -month faculty more than tripled. The reasons for the increase in 12 -month faculty are varied. Some additional 12 -month faculty are needed to help manage the complexity of some larger departments or to enhance educational support services such as the Center for Faculty Innovation, University Advising, and University Programs. The proportion of full-time faculty employed for 12-months has changed little since 2005.

[^5]Table 7
10- and 12-Month Instructional Faculty

| Fall | 10-Month |  |  |  |  | 12-Month |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & .0 .0 \\ & .0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { تָ } \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1986 | 137 | 149 | 126 | 38 | 450 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1\% |
| 1987 | 123 | 142 | 119 | 40 | 424 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 33 | 7\% |
| 1988 | 132 | 137 | 130 | 39 | 438 | 26 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 39 | 8\% |
| 1989 | 138 | 137 | 117 | 41 | 433 | 28 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 43 | 9\% |
| 1990 | 150 | 140 | 110 | 35 | 435 | 27 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 41 | 9\% |
| 1991 | 156 | 134 | 114 | 37 | 441 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 41 | 9\% |
| 1992 | 151 | 130 | 132 | 42 | 455 | 32 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 41 | 8\% |
| 1993 | 160 | 145 | 128 | 37 | 470 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 38 | 7\% |
| 1994 | 172 | 146 | 129 | 31 | 478 | 30 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 42 | 8\% |
| 1995 | 183 | 150 | 129 | 27 | 489 | 28 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 39 | 7\% |
| 1996 | 186 | 163 | 136 | 35 | 520 | 27 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 39 | 7\% |
| 1997 | 184 | 173 | 153 | 39 | 549 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 6\% |
| 1998 | 180 | 184 | 178 | 46 | 588 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 5\% |
| 1999 | 183 | 174 | 188 | 51 | 596 | 30 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 44 | 7\% |
| 2000 | 180 | 177 | 218 | 56 | 631 | 28 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 44 | 7\% |
| 2001 | 185 | 180 | 206 | 67 | 638 | 32 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 47 | 7\% |
| 2002 | 194 | 173 | 218 | 67 | 652 | 34 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 52 | 7\% |
| 2003 | 184 | 181 | 224 | 74 | 663 | 37 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 58 | 8\% |
| 2004 | 178 | 185 | 232 | 85 | 680 | 42 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 69 | 9\% |
| 2005 | 197 | 179 | 237 | 103 | 716 | 45 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 79 | 10\% |
| 2006 | 206 | 186 | 239 | 110 | 741 | 47 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 90 | 11\% |
| 2007 | 205 | 190 | 253 | 107 | 755 | 48 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 89 | 11\% |
| 2008 | 213 | 202 | 266 | 116 | 797 | 52 | 19 | 11 | 18 | 100 | 11\% |
| 2009 | 214 | 207 | 279 | 103 | 803 | 55 | 19 | 13 | 16 | 103 | 11\% |
| 2010 | 216 | 210 | 270 | 112 | 808 | 51 | 22 | 11 | 14 | 98 | 11\% |
| 2011 | 227 | 217 | 269 | 117 | 830 | 51 | 19 | 11 | 13 | 94 | 10\% |
| 2012 | 215 | 235 | 271 | 121 | 842 | 55 | 19 | 10 | 14 | 98 | 10\% |
| 2013 | 225 | 240 | 268 | 124 | 857 | 58 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 103 | 11\% |
| 2014 | 236 | 253 | 275 | 128 | 892 | 60 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 102 | 10\% |
| 2015 | 252 | 265 | 256 | 126 | 899 | 60 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 103 | 10\% |
| Change | 115 | 116 | 130 | 88 | 449 | 60 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 100 | 9\% |

${ }^{8}$ Source: Historical Faculty Database

Figure 5
Changes in the Percentage of Faculty at JMU by Years Served --1990-91 to 2015-16


In the last 15 years, an influx of new faculty resulted from many senior faculty retiring and an increase in new positions to accommodate enrollment growth. When compared with 1990-91, the profile of the faculty years in service at JMU has changed slightly. In 1990, 32 percent of the faculty had five years or less experience. The average number of years at JMU was 11.6 (median 11). In 2015, 31 percent had five years or less experience and the average years at JMU for all faculty was 11.4 (median=10). As shown in Table 8, the median age of the faculty has increased from 44 to 47 . The proportion of faculty with at least 30 years of experience increased from two to four percent.

Table 8 displays the changes in the distribution of years of JMU experience for faculty between 1990 and 2015. In 1990, six percent of the faculty had 26 or more years of experience at JMU compared to eight percent in 2015. In fall 2015, the number of years employed ranged from 0 to $50^{\circ}$, while age ranged from 24 to 79 . In 2015, the average age of newly hired faculty was 38 years.

The typical faculty member has slightly less JMU experience than 15 years ago, which is not surprising since JMU's undergraduate population has grown more than 80 percent since 1990. The median years at JMU for all faculty decreased from 11 in 1990 to 10 in 2015. The median age of the faculty (both new and continuing) increased from 44 years in 1990 to 47 in 2015. In 1990 the oldest faculty member was 72 compared to 79 in 2015. In 1990 the longest service for a faculty member was 32 years while it was 50 in 2015.

## Table 8

Years Employed at JMU, Fall 1990 and Fall $2015^{10}$

| Years Employed | Percent of <br> Total | 2015-16 | Percent <br> of Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New | 33 | $7 \%$ | 56 | $6 \%$ |
| $1-5$ | 121 | $25 \%$ | 254 | $25 \%$ |
| $6-10$ | 72 | $15 \%$ | 225 | $22 \%$ |
| $11-15$ | 83 | $17 \%$ | 186 | $19 \%$ |
| $16-20$ | 88 | $19 \%$ | 141 | $14 \%$ |
| $21-25$ | 53 | $11 \%$ | 62 | $6 \%$ |
| $26-30$ | 19 | $4 \%$ | 42 | $4 \%$ |
| $31+$ | 7 | $2 \%$ | 36 | $4 \%$ |
| Average Years | 12 |  | 11 |  |
| Median Years | 11 |  | 10 |  |
| Max Service Years | 32 |  | 50 |  |
| Average Age | 47 |  | 48 |  |
| Median Age | 44 |  | 47 |  |
| Oldest | 72 |  | 79 |  |
| Youngest | 25 |  | 24 |  |
| Total | 476 |  | 1,002 |  |

[^6]Table 9 displays for fall 2015 the average and median years at JMU and average and median ages by college. The average and median ages vary only slightly between the colleges, excluding faculty not associated with a college, but who are categorized in this report as "Administration \& Other." The College of Education has the lowest median years of JMU service at eight.

## Table 9

Years Employed at JMU and Average Age by College, Fall $2015^{11}$

| Years Employed | Arts \& Letters | $\begin{gathered} \mathscr{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{E} \\ \cdot \underset{\sim}{6} \\ \ddot{\theta} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { E } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 10\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | University Studies |  |  | U U U e 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New | 14 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 56 | 6\% |
| 1-5 | 74 | 23 | 17 | 50 | 21 | 45 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 254 | 25\% |
| 6-10 | 62 | 30 | 22 | 34 | 15 | 31 | 22 | 3 | 6 | 225 | 22\% |
| 11-15 | 44 | 22 | 8 | 29 | 15 | 28 | 26 | 12 | 2 | 186 | 19\% |
| 16-20 | 36 | 22 | 5 | 23 | 17 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 141 | 14\% |
| 21-25 | 14 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 2 |  | 62 | 6\% |
| 26-30 | 10 | 13 |  | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 42 | 4\% |
| $31+$ | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 |  | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 4\% |
| Avg. JMU Years | 11 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 |  |
| Median JMU Years | 9 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 10 |  |
| Max. JMU Years | 44 | 40 | 39 | 45 | 27 | 50 | 47 | 32 | 34 | 50 |  |
| Avg. Age | 47 | 51 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 48 | 50 | 47 | 42 | 48 |  |
| Median Age | 45 | 53 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 46 | 50 | 46 | 37 | 47 |  |
| Max. Age | 78 | 70 | 73 | 79 | 71 | 79 | 75 | 63 | 69 | 79 |  |
| Min. Age | 24 | 27 | 31 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 24 |  |
| Headcount | 262 | 141 | 57 | 161 | 80 | 160 | 93 | 30 | 18 | 1,002 |  |

Table 10 displays historical information about the average number of years that faculty have been employed at JMU and their average age. The data show that there have been some changes in faculty experience since 1986. The average faculty member has been employed about 11 years. The average new faculty member is in his/her middle to upper 30s.

[^7]
## Table 10

Average and Median Age, Years of Service: 1986-87 - 2015-16 ${ }^{12}$

| Year | Faculty <br> Total | Average JMU Years | Median JMU Years | Average Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \text { Age } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> Age of New <br> Faculty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 459 | 10 | 10 | 44 | 44 | 38 |
| 1987-88 | 457 | 11 | 10 | 45 | 44 | 35 |
| 1988-89 | 477 | 11 | 10 | 45 | 45 | 37 |
| 1989-90 | 476 | 11 | 11 | 46 | 45 | 35 |
| 1990-91 | 476 | 12 | 11 | 47 | 44 | 40 |
| 1991-92 | 482 | 12 | 12 | 47 | 47 | 36 |
| 1992-93 | 495 | 11 | 10 | 46 | 46 | 38 |
| 1993-94 | 508 | 12 | 10 | 47 | 46 | 39 |
| 1994-95 | 520 | 12 | 10 | 47 | 47 | 38 |
| 1995-96 | 528 | 12 | 11 | 48 | 48 | 38 |
| 1996-97 | 559 | 12 | 10 | 48 | 48 | 39 |
| 1997-98 | 581 | 11 | 9 | 47 | 48 | 38 |
| 1998-99 | 617 | 10 | 7 | 47 | 47 | 39 |
| 1999-00 | 640 | 10 | 7 | 47 | 48 | 39 |
| 2000-01 | 675 | 10 | 6 | 47 | 48 | 38 |
| 2001-02 | 685 | 10 | 6 | 47 | 48 | 39 |
| 2002-03 | 704 | 10 | 6 | 48 | 48 | 37 |
| 2003-04 | 721 | 10 | 6 | 48 | 48 | 38 |
| 2004-05 | 749 | 10 | 7 | 47 | 48 | 38 |
| 2005-06 | 795 | 10 | 7 | 47 | 48 | 39 |
| 2006-07 | 831 | 10 | 8 | 47 | 48 | 38 |
| 2007-08 | 854 | 10 | 8 | 48 | 47 | 40 |
| 2008-09 | 897 | 10 | 8 | 48 | 48 | 38 |
| 2009-10 | 906 | 11 | 8 | 48 | 48 | 36 |
| 2010-11 | 906 | 10 | 9 | 48 | 48 | 39 |
| 2011-12 | 924 | 11 | 9 | 48 | 47 | 38 |
| 2012-13 | 940 | 11 | 9 | 48 | 47 | 37 |
| 2013-14 | 960 | 11 | 9 | 48 | 47 | 41 |
| 2014-15 | 994 | 11 | 9 | 48 | 47 | 37 |
| 2015-16 | 1002 | 11 | 10 | 48 | 47 | 38 |
| Change | 543 | 1.1 | 0 | 3.6 | 3 | 0 |

[^8]In the early 1990s JMU created the College of Integrated Science and Technology. CISAT was to have a unique curriculum, mission and faculty. Many faculty were recruited from businesses and industries where tenure was not possible. The senior JMU administration decided that many of these new faculty would be offered Revolving Term Appointments (RTA) instead of the opportunity to gain tenure. The RTA offered the University the flexibility to hire excellent faculty for whom tenure was not a major concern, but would have more discretion in hiring and adjusting to changing student major choices. In 1991-92 96 percent of full-time faculty were either tenured or tenure track. By 1999-2000 the percentage had decreased to 76 percent. By 2015-16 the percentage tenured or tenure track had rebounded to 89 percent.

## Table 11

Percent of Full-Time Instructional That Were Either Tenured or Tenure Track by Rank and Year

| Academic Year | Professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor | Instructor / Lecturer | Percent Prof, Assoc, and Asst Prof,Tenured or Tenure Track |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990-91 | 100.0\% | 98.7\% | 83.9\% | 19.4\% | 95.5\% |
| 1991-92 | $100.0 \%$ | 98.6\% | 92.2\% | 15.4\% | 97.5\% |
| 1992-93 | 100.0\% | $99.3 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ | 20.5\% | 93.1\% |
| 1993-94 | 99.5\% | 99.3\% | $76.3 \%$ | 15.4\% | 93.0\% |
| 1994-95 | 100.0\% | 98.1\% | 70.8\% | 14.7\% | 91.6\% |
| 1995-96 | 100.0\% | 94.9\% | $74.0 \%$ | 13.8\% | 91.6\% |
| 1996-97 | 99.5\% | 90.6\% | 58.7\% | 8.1\% | 85.8\% |
| 1997-98 | 98.6\% | $85.9 \%$ | 60.0\% | $7.7 \%$ | 83.4\% |
| 1998-99 | 98.0\% | 83.4\% | 45.9\% | 4.3\% | 76.7\% |
| 1999-00 | 98.1\% | 80.9\% | 49.0\% | $2.0 \%$ | 76.4\% |
| 2000-01 | 97.6\% | 80.9\% | 60.8\% | 1.8\% | 79.1\% |
| 2001-02 | $97.2 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ | 69.4\% | 4.4\% | 82.2\% |
| 2002-03 | 96.9\% | 78.1\% | 68.1\% | $3.0 \%$ | 81.3\% |
| 2003-04 | 98.6\% | 84.7\% | 67.8\% | 0.0\% | 83.3\% |
| 2004-05 | 98.6\% | 87.4\% | 72.7\% | 1.1\% | 85.6\% |
| 2005-06 | 97.5\% | 88.0\% | $79.3 \%$ | 5.4\% | 88.2\% |
| 2006-07 | 98.4\% | 91.6\% | 78.0\% | 4.1\% | 89.1\% |
| 2007-08 | 97.7\% | 90.8\% | $76.8 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | 88.2\% |
| 2008-09 | 97.7\% | 91.9\% | 78.3\% | $3.7 \%$ | 89.0\% |
| 2009-10 | 98.1\% | 92.9\% | 82.5\% | $4.2 \%$ | 90.9\% |
| 2010-11 | 97.8\% | 94.4\% | 82.6\% | $3.2 \%$ | 91.3\% |
| 2011-12 | 97.5\% | 95.3\% | $78.9 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | 90.3\% |
| 2012-13 | 98.1\% | 94.9\% | $77.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | 89.8\% |
| 2013-14 | 97.9\% | 95.0\% | $78.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | 90.4\% |
| 2014-15 | 99.7\% | 94.5\% | 77.4\% | 0.7\% | 90.5\% |
| 2015-16 | 99.7\% | 94.7\% | $71.7 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | 89.3\% |



Each year, JMU hires new faculty to replace faculty who retire or leave JMU, as well as to accommodate enrollment growth. A frequently asked question is what proportion of faculty who become assistant tenure-track professors earn tenure and continue to teach at JMU? How has this proportion changed over the years, if at all? Table 12 displays the number of new tenure-track assistant professors hired since 1991-92. These faculty members include those who were initially hired into an instructor position and later promoted into an assistant professor tenure-track position. Between 1990 and 2008, 61 percent of those hired into a tenure-track position had earned tenure. It appears that between the third and fifth years the highest percentage of tenure-track faculty leave JMU. Unfortunately, we do not have data to show why these faculty members leave. Once a faculty member has earned tenure, however, he/she tends to remain at JMU. Of the 258 faculty who earned tenure between 1990 and 2005, 194 ( 94 percent) were employed after ten years at JMU. Eighty-two percent were still employed after 15 years. A few faculty members (one to two annually) tend to leave JMU within a year or two after earning tenure.

The literature on the percentage of faculty that are initially hired into a tenure-track position and gain tenure is not large. One article we found in Dynamic Ecology referenced a study of the proportion of faculty hired into a tenure-track position and indicated that the proportion is close to 55 percent. The reason many individuals do not earn tenure at their initial institution was that they left to take another position at another institution. ${ }^{13}$

[^9]Another concern is the retention rates of new faculty, regardless of their rank. Qualified faculty are difficult to find in some disciplines and in some locations, and it is much better for the department and the institution if these faculty remain at JMU. However, it has been speculated that faculty are leaving JMU faster than in previous years. However, the opposite is true. Figure 8 on page 19 displays the five-year retention rates of new faculty since 2000-01. It appears that the five-year retention rate of all new non-tenure track faculty ( 64 percent for those that were hired in 2010), regardless of initial tenure status, has increased in recent years. The retention rate of new tenure track/tenured faculty is stable in the 71 to 81 percent range annually.

## Table 12

Retention of New Tenure Track Assistant Professors ${ }^{14}$

| Fall | $\begin{aligned} & E_{5}^{5} \\ & 8_{0} \\ & \dot{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{u} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mathrm{y}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{u} \\ & \stackrel{e}{u} \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{n}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{u} \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{y} \\ & \stackrel{y}{V} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991-92 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 60\% | 9 | 75\% | 8 | 67\% |
| 1992-93 | 18 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 67\% | 12 | 100\% | 11 | 92\% |
| 1993-94 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 67\% | 7 | 70\% | 7 | 70\% |
| 1994-95 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 59\% | 7 | 70\% | 7 | 70\% |
| 1995-96 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 42\% | 11 | 100\% | 10 | 91\% |
| 1996-97 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 63\% | 5 | 100\% | 3 | 60\% |
| 1997-98 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 59\% | 16 | 100\% | 14 | 88\% |
| 1998-99 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 61\% | 14 | 100\% | 11 | 79\% |
| 1999-00 | 27 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 48\% | 13 | 100\% | 12 | 92\% |
| 2000-01 | 60 | 53 | 48 | 41 | 41 | 38 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 55\% | 32 | 97\% | 29 | 88\% |
| 2001-02 | 44 | 41 | 38 | 34 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 29 | 30 | 68\% | 29 | 97\% |  |  |
| 2002-03 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 19 | 19 | 56\% | 17 | 89\% |  |  |
| 2003-04 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 65\% | 20 | 91\% |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 56 | 51 | 49 | 45 | 40 | 38 | 38 | 35 | 35 | 63\% | 35 | 100\% |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 48 | 47 | 45 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 35 | 32 | 67\% | 31 | 97\% |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 46 | 45 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 65\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2007-08 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 35 | 33 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 60\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 50 | 48 | 44 | 43 | 40 | 38 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 66\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2009-10 | 59 | 55 | 54 | 50 | 45 | 44 | 41 |  | 39 | 66\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 36 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 31 |  |  | 12 | 33\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2011-12 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 30 |  |  |  | 7 | 20\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | 43 | 42 | 39 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | 54 | 51 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2014-15 | 48 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent <br> Retained | 894 | 94\% | 89\% | 80\% | 75\% | 70\% | 65\% | 62\% |  | 61\% | 258 | 94\% | 112 | 82\% |

[^10]


One measure of faculty qualifications is the percentage holding a terminal degree in their field. Table 13 displays the number and percentage of new faculty with a terminal degree by rank. A significantly smaller proportion of faculty at the instructor rank has a terminal degree. Seventy-six percent of new assistant professors held a terminal degree when hired, while 92 percent of new professors and 93
percent of associate professors held the terminal degree. The overall percentage of new faculty with a terminal degree ranged from a low of 38 percent in 1991 to a high of 81 percent in 2003 and 2013.
Table 13
New Terminal Degreed Instructional Faculty ${ }^{15}$

| Fall | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ \text { Z } \\ \mathbf{Z} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { Z } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | 18 | 6\% | 22 | 68\% | 7 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 51 | 53\% |
| 1987 | 10 | 0\% | 17 | 82\% | 3 | 100\% | 1 | 100\% | 31 | 58\% |
| 1988 | 17 | 0\% | 22 | 73\% | 5 | 80\% | 2 | 100\% | 46 | 48\% |
| 1989 | 10 | 0\% | 13 | 85\% | 2 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | 27 | 56\% |
| 1990 | 9 | 0\% | 17 | 53\% | 3 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 33 | 48\% |
| 1991 | 17 | 6\% | 14 | 79\% | 1 | 0\% |  | 0\% | 32 | 38\% |
| 1992 | 23 | 17\% | 38 | 76\% | 3 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 68 | 59\% |
| 1993 | 10 | 10\% | 20 | 80\% | 7 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 41 | 68\% |
| 1994 | 8 | 25\% | 24 | 75\% | 3 | 100\% | 3 | 100\% | 38 | 68\% |
| 1995 | 16 | 19\% | 37 | 86\% | 6 | 100\% | 3 | 100\% | 62 | 71\% |
| 1996 | 15 | 20\% | 34 | 79\% | 10 | 90\% | 1 | 0\% | 60 | 65\% |
| 1997 | 13 | 23\% | 44 | 91\% | 12 | 83\% | 3 | 33\% | 72 | 75\% |
| 1998 | 13 | 23\% | 62 | 84\% | 10 | 90\% | 4 | 100\% | 89 | 76\% |
| 1999 | 6 | 0\% | 42 | 71\% | 7 | 86\% | 3 | 100\% | 58 | 67\% |
| 2000 | 10 | 10\% | 57 | 63\% | 13 | 92\% | 1 | 100\% | 81 | 62\% |
| 2001 | 12 | 27\% | 36 | 69\% | 11 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | 61 | 68\% |
| 2002 | 7 | 29\% | 41 | 83\% | 1 | 100\% | 1 | 100\% | 50 | 76\% |
| 2003 | 9 | 44\% | 51 | 84\% | 2 | 100\% | 1 | 100\% | 63 | 81\% |
| 2004 | 17 | 35\% | 54 | 79\% | 5 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 81 | 74\% |
| 2005 | 14 | 7\% | 42 | 88\% |  | 0\% | 6 | 100\% | 62 | 71\% |
| 2006 | 16 | 0\% | 47 | 68\% | 5 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | 70 | 56\% |
| 2007 | 12 | 33\% | 40 | 83\% | 7 | 100\% | 5 | 80\% | 64 | 75\% |
| 2008 | 11 | 27\% | 46 | 65\% | 5 | 100\% | 4 | 100\% | 66 | 64\% |
| 2009 | 5 | 0\% | 41 | 63\% |  | 0\% | 3 | 67\% | 49 | 57\% |
| 2010 | 10 | 0\% | 39 | 67\% | 3 | 100\% | 5 | 100\% | 57 | 60\% |
| 2011 | 4 | 0\% | 43 | 81\% | 5 | 100\% | 2 | 100\% | 54 | 78\% |
| 2012 | 12 | 25\% | 45 | 73\% | 4 | 75\% | 2 | 100\% | 63 | 65\% |
| 2013 | 12 | 42\% | 38 | 89\% | 8 | 100\% | 1 | 100\% | 59 | 81\% |
| 2014 | 9 | 22\% | 52 | 87\% | 1 | 100\% | 2 | 50\% | 64 | 77\% |
| 2015 | 15 | 20\% | 38 | 76\% |  | 0\% | 3 | 33\% | 56 | 59\% |
| All Years | 360 | 16\% | 1,116 | 77\% | 149 | 93\% | 83 | 89\% | 1,708 | 66\% |

${ }^{15}$ Source: Faculty Historical Database.

# ffice of <br> Institutional <br> Research 

Figure 9 indicates that there has been a gradual increase in the percentage of new faculty holding the terminal degree since 1986. The dip in the percentage of new associate and full professors in 1991 holding the terminal degree was due to the fact that only one faculty member was hired, and this person did not have a terminal degree. The nine years in which no new instructors had a terminal degree is not surprising because a much smaller percentage of new instructors possess terminal degrees ( 15 percent). In most disciplines and at institutions like JMU a terminal degree is required to become tenure eligible and become assistant professors. Over the years many instructors, once their earned a terminal degree, became tenure-track and eventually earned tenure.


## Compensation

The tables and figures in this section focus on faculty compensation since 1986. Table 14 displays the average salary by rank by year as reported to the American Association of University Professors (AAUP). Table 14 displays the average salary of $9 / 10$-month faculty. Table 15 displays total compensation (salary + benefits) since 1986. Table 16 shows the annual percentage increases for continuing faculty. When the average faculty salary for 2015-16 is adjusted to 1986 dollars one discovers that the average JMU faculty salary increased by $\$ 2,014$ ( 6.1 percent). The average salaries of associate professors rose by the smallest percentage of all ranks ( $0.4 \%$ ).

## Table 14

Average Salary by Rank Since 1986-87 ${ }^{16}$

| Year | Professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor | Instructor | All Ranks | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 39,389 | 33,818 | 29,341 | 21,555 | 33,225 |  |
| 1987-88 | 42,499 | 36,420 | 31,052 | 23,547 | 35,647 | 7.3\% |
| 1988-89 | 46,235 | 39,246 | 33,435 | 25,607 | 38,724 | 8.6\% |
| 1989-90 | 50,261 | 42,935 | 36,917 | 27,943 | 42,618 | 10.1\% |
| 1990-91 | 51,698 | 44,177 | 37,768 | 29,398 | 44,329 | 4.0\% |
| 1991-92 | 50,829 | 43,791 | 36,596 | 28,603 | 43,576 | -1.7\% |
| 1992-93 | 50,958 | 43,650 | 35,296 | 29,113 | 42,800 | -1.8\% |
| 1993-94 | 52,135 | 44,674 | 36,558 | 28,506 | 44,086 | 3.0\% |
| 1994-95 | 55,254 | 47,439 | 39,017 | 30,866 | 47,286 | 7.3\% |
| 1995-96 | 56,991 | 48,235 | 40,415 | 31,180 | 48,857 | 3.3\% |
| 1996-97 | 59,158 | 49,899 | 40,711 | 32,069 | 49,979 | 2.3\% |
| 1997-98 | 62,312 | 53,371 | 43,038 | 33,604 | 52,519 | 5.1\% |
| 1998-99 | 66,342 | 55,943 | 44,418 | 34,622 | 54,394 | 3.6\% |
| 1999-00 | 70,206 | 58,818 | 46,009 | 36,407 | 56,859 | 4.5\% |
| 2000-01 | 72,223 | 59,695 | 46,518 | 39,359 | 57,407 | 1.0\% |
| 2001-02 | 72,325 | 59,153 | 46,376 | 39,531 | 57,349 | -0.1\% |
| 2002-03 | 71,690 | 58,312 | 46,620 | 39,601 | 57,077 | -0.5\% |
| 2003-04 | 73,066 | 59,758 | 47,852 | 41,483 | 58,030 | 1.7\% |
| 2004-05 | 77,648 | 62,798 | 50,559 | 42,936 | 60,731 | 4.7\% |
| 2005-06 | 80,204 | 66,051 | 53,561 | 44,301 | 63,684 | 4.9\% |
| 2006-07 | 83,810 | 67,847 | 54,823 | 46,210 | 65,550 | 2.9\% |
| 2007-08 | 87,587 | 68,984 | 56,994 | 48,982 | 68,192 | 4.0\% |
| 2008-09 | 87,417 | 69,216 | 56,278 | 49,188 | 67,606 | -0.9\% |
| 2009-10 | 87,731 | 67,790 | 57,646 | 49,758 | 68,073 | 0.7\% |
| 2010-11 | 86,841 | 66,941 | 58,357 | 50,003 | 67,787 | -0.4\% |
| 2011-12 | 87,360 | 66,489 | 60,382 | 50,976 | 68,735 | 1.4\% |
| 2012-13 | 87,601 | 67,335 | 61,289 | 52,802 | 69,261 | 0.8\% |
| 2013-14 | 91,029 | 71,125 | 64,231 | 54,697 | 72,667 | 4.9\% |
| 2014-15 | 90,827 | 70,073 | 64,760 | 53,787 | 72,444 | -0.3\% |
| 2015-16 | 97,547 | 74,537 | 67,479 | 57,169 | 77,398 | 6.8\% |
| Change | 58,158 | 40,719 | 38,138 | 35,614 | 44,173 |  |
| Percent Change | 148\% | 120\% | 130\% | 165\% | 133\% |  |
| Adjusted to 1986 | 44,413 | 33,937 | 30,723 | 26,029 | 35,239 |  |
| Change from 1986 | 5,024 | 119 | 1,382 | 4,474 | 2,014 |  |
| Percent Change from 1986 Adjusted | 12.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.7\% | 20.8\% | 6.1\% |  |

[^11]
## Table 15

Average Compensation by Rank.

| Year | Professor | Associate <br> Professor | Assistant <br> Professor | Instructor | All Ranks | Percent Change | Benefits As Percent Of Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 49,019 | 42,281 | 36,874 | 27,428 | 41,564 | -- | 25.1\% |
| 1987-88 | 52,772 | 45,464 | 38,999 | 29,924 | 44,525 | 7.10\% | 24.9\% |
| 1988-89 | 57,153 | 48,790 | 41,802 | 32,355 | 48,146 | 8.10\% | 24.3\% |
| 1989-90 | 62,410 | 53,820 | 46,581 | 35,746 | 53,358 | 10.80\% | 25.2\% |
| 1990-91 | 65,579 | 56,530 | 48,729 | 38,503 | 56,677 | 6.20\% | 27.9\% |
| 1991-92 | 63,107 | 54,723 | 45,909 | 36,747 | 54,418 | -4.00\% | 24.9\% |
| 1992-93 | 62,784 | 54,367 | 44,698 | 37,443 | 53,359 | -1.90\% | 24.7\% |
| 1993-94 | 64,594 | 55,995 | 46,615 | 37,108 | 55,291 | 3.60\% | 25.4\% |
| 1994-95 | 68,010 | 59,039 | 49,295 | 39,675 | 58,822 | 6.40\% | 24.4\% |
| 1995-96 | 70,286 | 60,351 | 49,902 | 39,982 | 60,974 | 3.70\% | 24.8\% |
| 1996-97 | 72,818 | 62,219 | 51,343 | 41,139 | 62,178 | 2.00\% | 24.4\% |
| 1997-98 | 77,058 | 66,706 | 54,563 | 43,357 | 65,641 | 5.60\% | 25.0\% |
| 1998-99 | 82,737 | 70,425 | 56,646 | 44,959 | 68,535 | 4.40\% | 26.0\% |
| 1999-00 | 88,083 | 74,515 | 59,096 | 47,557 | 72,113 | 5.20\% | 26.8\% |
| 2000-01 | 91,232 | 76,248 | 60,248 | 51,548 | 73,399 | 1.80\% | 27.9\% |
| 2001-02 | 91,288 | 75,730 | 60,337 | 51,985 | 73,447 | 0.70\% | 28.1\% |
| 2002-03 | 88,978 | 74,325 | 60,802 | 52,242 | 72,589 | -1.70\% | 27.2\% |
| 2003-04 | 93,048 | 77,559 | 63,350 | 55,542 | 75,355 | 3.80\% | 29.9\% |
| 2004-05 | 99,966 | 82,550 | 67,825 | 58,452 | 79,907 | 6.00\% | 31.6\% |
| 2005-06 | 104,833 | 87,061 | 72,017 | 60,692 | 84,039 | 5.20\% | 32.0\% |
| 2006-07 | 110,440 | 90,992 | 75,117 | 64,592 | 88,185 | 4.90\% | 34.5\% |
| 2007-08 | 115,560 | 92,800 | 78,240 | 68,441 | 91,892 | 4.20\% | 34.8\% |
| 2008-09 | 115,359 | 93,066 | 77,347 | 68,715 | 91,160 | -0.80\% | 34.8\% |
| 2009-10 | 114,768 | 90,589 | 78,401 | 68,878 | 90,988 | -0.20\% | 33.7\% |
| 2010-11 | 113,880 | 89,781 | 79,219 | 69,284 | 90,757 | -0.25\% | 33.9\% |
| 2011-12 | 114,789 | 87,073 | 79,663 | 69,339 | 90,671 | -0.09\% | 31.9\% |
| 2012-13 | 113,071 | 88,872 | 81,674 | 71,270 | 91,143 | 0.52\% | 31.6\% |
| 2013-14 | 118,367 | 95,084 | 86,975 | 75,770 | 96,861 | 6.27\% | 33.3\% |
| 2014-15 | 123,412 | 98,062 | 91,159 | 77,911 | 100,803 | 4.07\% | 39.1\% |
| 2015-16 | 133,765 | 105,253 | 95,518 | 83,294 | 108,471 | 7.61\% | 40.1\% |
| Change | 84,746 | 62,972 | 58,644 | 55,866 | 66,907 |  |  |
| Percent Change | 173\% | 149\% | 159\% | 204\% | 161\% |  |  |
| Adjusted to 1986 | 60,903 | 47,922 | 43,489 | 37,924 | 49,387 |  |  |
| Change from 1986 | 11,884 | 5,641 | 6,615 | 10,496 | 7,823 |  |  |
| Percent Change from 1986 Adjusted | 24.2\% | 13.3\% | 17.9\% | 38.3\% | 18.8\% |  |  |

Continuing assistant professors had greater percentage salary increases than professors and associate professors in 26 of the last 30 years (Table 16). Although professors have the highest average salary and compensation, their overall percentage increases tend to be smaller than associate or assistant professors. Full professors are not eligible for salary increases associated with promotion to a new rank as are assistant and associate professors. Benefits as a percentage of total compensation have increased by 15 percentage points to 40.1 percent since 1986-87. This percentage increase since 201314 is due to the institutions being required to contribute additional funds to ensure the long-term viability of the Virginia Retirement System and additional healthcare costs.
Table 16
Percent Salary Increases for Continuing ${ }^{17}$ Faculty

| Year | Professor | Associate <br> Professor | Assistant <br> Professor | Instructor | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986-87 | 11.74 | 11.81 | 11.95 | 10.32 | 11.75 |
| 1987-88 | 7.10 | 8.60 | 9.40 | 9.70 | 8.30 |
| 1988-89 | 9.80 | 10.20 | 11.80 | 12.60 | 10.40 |
| 1989-90 | 9.01 | 10.02 | 11.59 | 14.54 | 10.18 |
| 1990-91 | 3.21 | 3.78 | 4.49 | 3.84 | 3.69 |
| 1991-92 | 0.54 | 1.47 | 0.81 | 1.69 | 0.94 |
| 1992-93 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.46 |
| 1993-94 | 2.30 | 3.00 | 3.03 | 3.52 | 2.72 |
| 1994-95 | 3.35 | 3.95 | 4.59 | 5.06 | 3.85 |
| 1995-96 | 2.88 | 3.98 | 4.80 | 9.28 | 3.76 |
| 1996-97 | 4.15 | 4.62 | 5.49 | 5.28 | 4.59 |
| 1997-98 | 5.89 | 7.23 | 7.59 | 7.06 | 6.69 |
| 1998-99 | 6.05 | 6.75 | 7.51 | 8.35 | 6.65 |
| 1999-00 | 5.63 | 6.73 | 7.38 | 7.21 | 6.43 |
| 2000-01 | 2.24 | 3.64 | 4.32 | 5.27 | 3.29 |
| 2001-02 | 0.60 | 1.11 | 1.55 | 0.79 | 0.99 |
| 2002-03 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 1.09 | 0.36 |
| 2003-04 | 2.31 | 4.10 | 5.35 | 6.44 | 3.87 |
| 2004-05 | 7.49 | 7.30 | 7.36 | 5.71 | 7.27 |
| 2005-06 | 5.44 | 7.97 | 8.25 | 5.48 | 6.88 |
| 2006-07 | 3.97 | 5.09 | 5.59 | 4.81 | 4.75 |
| 2007-08 | 4.52 | 5.61 | 5.76 | 5.41 | 5.20 |
| 2008-09 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 1.55 | 0.79 | 0.68 |
| 2009-10 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 1.33 | 0.84 | 0.65 |
| 2010-11 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.50 |
| 2011-12 | 2.00 | 2.10 | 3.10 | 2.20 | 2.40 |
| 2012-13 | 0.40 | 2.20 | 2.30 | 2.20 | 1.50 |
| 2013-14 | 5.40 | 7.40 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.30 |
| 2014-15 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| 2015-16 | 2.36 | 3.44 | 3.49 | 2.89 | 3.04 |

[^12]Across-the-board salary increases have been infrequent since 2008, except in 2013-14, due to budget shortfalls in the Commonwealth. However, some faculty received raises due to promotions (assistant to associate professor or associate professor to full professor) and/or equity adjustments. The data in Table 16 reflect these increases, using AAUP definitions, as well as increases authorized by the Commonwealth in the years in which they were granted. For example, an associate professor who was promoted to professor was analyzed as an associate professor with the new salary adjustment included. Figure 10 clearly shows the years in which faculty salary increases were minimal or non-existent during budget shortfalls in the Commonwealth. Although conditionally approved by the General Assembly in March 2016, no salary increases were given in 2016-17 due to a shortfall in tax revenues.

Figure 10
Percentage Salary Increases for Continuing Faculty 1986-87 to 2015-16


# ffice of Institutional Research 

In 2016 JMU adopted a new faculty salary peer group. The purpose of the new peer group is to choose public institutions that are more "like" JMU than the peer group selected in cooperation with SCHEV in 2007. Many of the SCHEV peer institutions are private with significant endowments and are not dependent upon public funds from their state. Thus their average salaries tend to be much higher, more stable and out of reach for public institutions like JMU. Since 2001-02 the Commonwealth of Virginia has had three major revenue shortfalls that resulted in institutions receiving no funding for employee raises.
The Division of Academic Affairs in 2015 contracted with a private firm to develop a list of comparable public institutions from which a group of new peers could be selected. The new list appears below along with comparison data. It is interesting to note that 10 of the 13 institutions selected to be JMU's faculty salary peers are on the SCHEV faculty salary list.
Table 17
Salary Data for New Faculty Peer Group, 2014-15 ${ }^{18}$

| Institution Name | State | Total <br> Headcount | 6-Year Grad Rate | Student Faculty Ratio | Average Salary 2014-15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clemson University | SC | 21,857 | 82 | 16 | \$ | 91,431 |
| SUNY at Binghamton | NY | 16,695 | 81 | 20 | \$ | 82,809 |
| West Chester University of Pennsylvania | PA | 16,086 | 67 | 18 | \$ | 82,665 |
| Rowan University | NJ | 14,778 | 67 | 17 | \$ | 81,450 |
| Miami University-Oxford | OH | 18,620 | 79 | 17 | \$ | 78,093 |
| Western Washington University | WA | 15,060 | 72 | 19 | \$ | 75,375 |
| College of Charleston | SC | 11,456 | 67 | 15 | \$ | 73,638 |
| University of Northern Iowa | IA | 11,928 | 64 | 16 | \$ | 73,215 |
| Grand Valley State University | MI | 25,094 | 65 | 17 | \$ | 72,405 |
| James Madison University | VA | 20,855 | 82 | 16 | \$ | 71,937 |
| Illinois State University | IL | 20,615 | 72 | 19 | \$ | 71,658 |
| University of North Carolina Wilmington | NC | 14,570 | 71 | 17 | \$ | 70,470 |
| Appalachian State University | NC | 18,026 | 70 | 16 | \$ | 69,030 |
| Towson University | MD | 22,285 | 68 | 16 | \$ | 64,332 |
| Average Salary, Excluding JMU |  |  |  |  | \$ | 75,890 |

[^13]
## Faculty Resources

Table 18 displays the changes in faculty FTE (summer, fall, spring and total) since 1994-95. The fulltime equivalent faculty (FTEF) grew by 103 percent. The FTEF for the fall and spring terms tend to be similar even though historically spring headcounts are approximately five percent lower than fall, primarily due to December graduation. Table 18 also displays the number of degrees conferred and the ratio of FTE faculty to total degrees conferred.
Table 18
Faculty FTE (FT and PT) and Degrees Conferred, 1994-95 to 2015-16

| Academic <br> Year | Summer FTEF | Fall FTEF |  | Degrees <br> Spring FTEF | FTEF/ <br> Degrees Ratio |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1994-95$ | 132.8 | 611.5 | 612.7 | 678.5 | 2,685 | 3.96 |
| $1995-96$ | 126.8 | 609.3 | 601.2 | 668.7 | 2,666 | 3.99 |
| $1996-97$ | 135.1 | 652.5 | 644.9 | 716.3 | 2,571 | 3.59 |
| $1997-98$ | 137.8 | 687.2 | 694.8 | 759.9 | 2,738 | 3.60 |
| $1998-99$ | 155.4 | 744.3 | 742.3 | 821.0 | 2,906 | 3.54 |
| $1999-00$ | 152.5 | 764.6 | 763.0 | 840.1 | 3,472 | 4.13 |
| $2000-01$ | 149.9 | 822.4 | 821.0 | 896.7 | 3,384 | 3.77 |
| $2001-02$ | 161.9 | 824.3 | 836.3 | 911.3 | 3,435 | 3.77 |
| $2002-03$ | 160.9 | 855.8 | 855.0 | 935.9 | 3,474 | 3.71 |
| $2003-04$ | 172.9 | 880.3 | 871.9 | 962.6 | 3,685 | 3.83 |
| $2004-05$ | 185.2 | 910.6 | 909.0 | $1,002.4$ | 3,778 | 3.77 |
| $2005-06$ | 197.3 | 968.6 | 957.2 | $1,061.6$ | 4,027 | 3.79 |
| $2006-07$ | 204.3 | $1,009.0$ | $1,010.8$ | $1,112.1$ | 4,034 | 3.63 |
| $2007-08$ | 204.6 | $1,033.7$ | $1,033.8$ | $1,136.1$ | 4,143 | 3.65 |
| $2008-09$ | 219.5 | $1,092.3$ | $1,102.4$ | $1,207.1$ | 4,334 | 3.59 |
| $2009-10$ | 215.9 | $1,123.8$ | $1,117.5$ | $1,228.6$ | 4,411 | 3.59 |
| $2010-11$ | 231.2 | $1,136.0$ | $1,138.2$ | $1,252.7$ | 4,608 | 3.68 |
| $2011-12$ | 237.3 | $1,159.3$ | $1,179.8$ | $1,288.2$ | 4,908 | 3.81 |
| $2012-13$ | $1,176.0$ | $1,180.8$ | $1,296.2$ | 4,824 | 3.72 |  |
| $2013-14$ | 235.6 | $1,203.9$ | $1,226.6$ | $1,336.8$ | 4,886 | 3.66 |
| $2014-15$ | $1,230.8$ | $1,220.7$ | $1,348.3$ | 4,951 | 3.67 |  |
| $2015-16$ | 243.1 | 245.2 | $1,243.4$ | $1,259.0$ | $1,376.3$ | 5,347 |
| Percent Change | $103 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $103 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 3.88 |  |

Figure 12 on page 28 plots the ratio of FTE faculty to degrees conferred. The ratios have varied little over the years with the exception of years like 1999-00 and 2015-16 when the large "bubble" of freshmen four years earlier began to graduate.

Figure 11
Faculty FTE, 1994-95 to 2015-16


Figure 12
Ratio of Degrees Conferred to the Number of FTE Faculty


Table 19 displays four measures of faculty resources and use. Each measure is described and analyzed below.

- The "Student-To-Faculty Ratio" is calculated by dividing the full-time equivalent students in a fall term ( 15 credits per undergraduate and 12 per graduate student) by the full-time equivalent faculty (FTEF). Since 1991, the ratio has varied from 19.2 in 1997 to 16.0 in several years. In 2015-16 the ratio rose to 16.2.
- The "Total Student Credit Hours per Full-Time Equivalent Faculty" figures represent the total number of credit hours taught divided by the total FTE faculty. This number has ranged from 284.8 in 1997 to 236.4 in 2009. It was 237.4 in 2015.
- The "Percentage of Total Fall Credit Hours Taught by Full-Time Faculty" seeks to explore the distribution of total effort between full-time and part-time faculty. The percent ranged from 80.9 in 2004 to 77.1 in 1994. It was 77.4 percent in 2015 . This ratio has changed little in the last $20+$ years, indicating that JMU continues to value the interaction of students with full-time faculty.
- The "Percent of Lower Division Student Course Enrollments Taught by Full-Time Faculty" figures are calculated by section. Lower Division courses are courses at the 100- and 200 -levels. Individualized instruction is not included. A section is defined as an organized course offered for credit and not a subsection such as a laboratory or discussion session. Sections also include mass sections. For example, a Biology 130 lecture is typically taught by one faculty member, but is listed in the schedule of classes as four sections to facilitating assigning students to labs. The section analysis combines the lab sections, counting them as one-lecture section. So, the same Biology 130 course has four subsections. The percentage of sections taught by full-time faculty ranged from 76.4 in 1998 to 63.0 in 2010. In 2015 the percentage was 68.7 .

There have been some changes in how faculty resources have been employed since 1991. The student-to-faculty ratio is not an indicator of class size, but is a measure of the "typical" number of students he/she will work with in an instructional activity. The ratio has declined by 2.6 percentage points since 1991, a major accomplishment by the senior administration in securing and allocating additional funding to employ instructional faculty at an institution of JMU's size and breadth of programs, especially during a time of significant budget problems. While full-time faculty teach the vast majority of credit hours, full-time faculty are less likely than in 1996 to teach lower division (100- and 200-level) sections.

## Table 19

Measures of Faculty Resources and Use: Fall 1991 to Fall 2015

| Fall | Student-to-Faculty Ratio | Total Student Credit Hours per Full-Time Equivalent Faculty | Percentage of Total Fall Credit Hours Taught by FullTime Faculty | Percentage of <br> Freshman and Sophomore Student Courses Taught by Full-Time Faculty | Regular Session (Fall + Spring) FTE Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 18.9: 1 | 281.2 | 78.5\% | NA | 10,620 |
| 1992 | 18.3: 1 | 271.6 | 79.5\% | NA | 10,720 |
| 1993 | 18.2: 1 | 270.2 | 77.7\% | NA | 10,711 |
| 1994 | 18.3: 1 | 271.5 | 77.1\% | NA | 10,869 |
| 1995 | 18.8: 1 | 279.0 | 79.6\% | NA | 11,087 |
| 1996 | 19.1 : 1 | 283.7 | 79.5\% | 75.9\% | 12,119 |
| 1997 | 19.2: 1 | 284.8 | 78.2\% | 74.3\% | 12,877 |
| 1998 | 18.8: 1 | 279.8 | 79.6\% | 76.4\% | 13,539 |
| 1999 | 18.3 : 1 | 272.0 | 79.8\% | 75.7\% | 13,697 |
| 2000 | 17.5 : 1 | 260.3 | 78.6\% | 73.7\% | 13,823 |
| 2001 | 17.5 : 1 | 263.3 | 80.1\% | 75.8\% | 14,094 |
| 2002 | 17.4: 1 | 257.7 | 80.7\% | 71.0\% | 14,496 |
| 2003 | 17.3 : 1 | 258.3 | 79.9\% | 73.0\% | 14,732 |
| 2004 | 16.8 : 1 | 250.6 | 80.9\% | 64.3\% | 14,857 |
| 2005 | 16.6 : 1 | 247.1 | 80.0\% | 71.1\% | 15,462 |
| 2006 | 16.2 : 1 | 240.8 | 80.2\% | 70.7\% | 15,869 |
| 2007 | 16.4: 1 | 242.7 | 79.8\% | 70.3\% | 16,115 |
| 2008 | 16.0 : 1 | 237.1 | 79.4\% | 68.7\% | 16,794 |
| 2009 | 16.0 : 1 | 236.4 | 78.3\% | 66.1\% | 17,077 |
| 2010 | 16.1 : 1 | 238.2 | 77.9\% | 63.0\% | 17,209 |
| 2011 | 16.1: 1 | 238.3 | 77.9\% | 64.3\% | 17,481 |
| 2012 | 16.1: 1 | 238.0 | 77.6\% | 64.1\% | 17,727 |
| 2013 | 16.0 : 1 | 237.4 | 77.4\% | 65.0\% | 17,823 |
| 2014 | 16.1 : 1 | 238.0 | 78.3\% | 67.0\% | 18,289 |
| 2015 | 16.2 : 1 | 239.7 | 79.1\% | 68.7\% | 18,596 |
| Change | (2.7 : 1) | (41.50) | 1.60\% | -7.20\% | 7,976 |

# ffice of Institutional Research 

Trends
This study of instructional faculty was designed to explore the ways the JMU faculty have changed since 1986 and provide useful information to the university community.

There are several important trends developing nationally that reflect changes seen at JMU over the years. Below are a few of the most significant.

- There has been a national trend of declining proportion of faculty with tenure status. According to the National Center for Education Statistics, in 2011-12, 55.6 percent of faculty in public Master's level institutions, like JMU, had tenure. In 1993-94 the percentage was $61^{19}$. At JMU the percentage of tenured faculty was 69 percent in 1993-94. JMU's faculty tenure rate declined from a high of 72 percent in 1991-92 to 45 percent in 2005-06. It increased to 57 percent in 2015-16.
- Another important national trend is the increasing proportion of full-time faculty who are female. Since 1991-92 JMU's proportion of full-time faculty who are female increased from 38 percent to 47 percent. The proportion of faculty who are female is likely to increase because the number of women enrolled in higher education is higher than men.
- Salary and compensation trends have been quite variable in the last two decades. The annual percentage salary increases for JMU have been significantly more variable than the national peers. The Division of Academic Affairs adopted a new peer group of public institutions considered "like" JMU on many dimensions, including Mission, size, staffing and graduation rate. In 2014-15 JMU ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ highest in average faculty salary out of 14 institutions. It is uncertain the direction faculty salaries will take in the next several years, but Virginia continues to have revenue issues that will affect employee compensation. For example, in 2016 the General Assembly allocated funds for a three percent faculty salary increase in 2016-17, but this did not occur due to reduced tax revenues in the Commonwealth.

OIR will continue to update this report at least biennially to provide an ongoing summary of changes in JMU's faculty. Questions about this study can be directed to the JMU Office of Institutional Research at (540) 568-7208 or ask-oir@jmu.edu. OIR occasionally receives requests to provide faculty resource use data by college and department. Questions about the relative distribution of resources and their use by department should be directed to the Office of the Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs.

[^14]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Negative changes are due to zero increases from the Commonwealth and the retirement of long-term faculty in the same year that were replaced by junior faculty, not that the Commonwealth required salary decreases from faculty.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Source: Statistical Summary Table 4-1

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Source: Statistical Summary Table 4-1
    ${ }^{4}$ Source: Statistical Summary Table 4-2

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Source: Statistical Summary Table 4-2

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Source: Statistical Summary Table 4-6

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Source: Historical Faculty Database

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ One faculty member began teaching at JMU in 1965.
    ${ }^{10}$ Source: Historical Faculty Database

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Source: Historical Faculty Database

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ Source: Historical Faculty Database

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ Fox, Jeremy, "Don't worry (too much) about whether you'll get tenure, because you probably will," 2014, https://dynamicecology.wordpress.com/2014/07/21/dont-worry-too-much-about-whether-youll-get-tenure-because-you-probably-will/

[^10]:    ${ }^{14}$ Source: Historical Faculty Database

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ Source: AAUP Annual Survey and Statistical Summary

[^12]:    ${ }^{17}$ Continuing faculty were employed the previous year. Faculty who were promoted were analyzed as if they were still in the previous rank. Source and methodology: AAUP Annual Survey.

[^13]:    ${ }^{18}$ Source: Federal Integrated Postsecondary Educational Data System. IPEDS data collection, most recent data.

[^14]:    ${ }^{19}$ Digest of Education Statistics, Table 305, 2012.

