Rubric: Faculty Senate Engagement Mini-Grants

CRITERIA	OUTSTANDING (3)	SUFFICIENT (2)	INSUFFICIENT (1)
Project	– One or more types of	– One or more types of	– One or more types of
engagement	engagement is clearly and	engagement is identified	engagement is addressed.
engaged learning	accurately identified as a	as a project outcome. –	– Engagement focus of the
civic engagement	project outcome. –	Engagement is a focus of	project is unclear. –
community	Engagement is an	the project. – Project	Project's potential for
engagement	essential focus of the	shows limited potential for	sustained engagement
	project. – Project shows	sustained engagement	over time is unclear. –
	potential for sustained	over time. – Project is	Project is tangentially
	engagement over time. –	situated in JMU's	connected to JMU's
	Project clearly advances	engagement efforts.	engagement efforts.
	JMU's engagement		
	efforts.		
Project	– Description of the	– Description of the	– Description of the
description	project is understandable	project is accessible to	project is unclear to those
500 words	and well-evidenced	those without specialized	without specialized
	through the use of	knowledge. – Description	knowledge. – Description
	supporting references to	is connected to proposed	is tangentially connected
	those without specialized	outcomes. – Description	to proposed outcomes. –
	knowledge. – Description	addresses involvement	Description tangentially
	is clearly aligned to	of/benefits to the	addresses involvement
	proposed outcomes. –	community (e.g., JMU	of/benefits to the
	Description addresses	students, local, regional, or	community (e.g., JMU
	meaningful involvement	global).	students, local, regional,
	of/benefits to the		or global).
	community (e.g., JMU		
	students, local, regional,		
	or global).		
Faculty	 Explanation clearly 	 Explanation provides 	– Explanation offers
expertise	identifies faculty expertise	faculty expertise that is	faculty expertise that is
•	that is relevant to and	relevant to and necessary	not clearly relevant to and
	necessary for the project.	for the project. –	necessary for the project.
	 Explanation clearly 	Explanation situates	– Explanation does not
	situates faculty expertise	faculty expertise for the	clearly situate faculty
	for the project within	project within subject	expertise for the project
	specific subject matter,	matter, scholarship,	within specific subject
	scholarship, and/or	and/or engagement.	matter, scholarship,
	engagement.		and/or engagement.
-	identifies faculty expertise that is relevant to and necessary for the project. – Explanation clearly situates faculty expertise for the project within specific subject matter, scholarship, and/or	faculty expertise that is relevant to and necessary for the project. — Explanation situates faculty expertise for the project within subject matter, scholarship,	faculty expertise that is not clearly relevant to and necessary for the project. – Explanation does not clearly situate faculty expertise for the project within specific subject matter, scholarship,

Project timeline 150 words	- Timeline is clearly explained and reasonable for completion of the project Timeline allows for expenditure of funds within the allotted time period.	– Timeline is provided and reasonable for completion of the project. – Timeline allows for expenditure of funds within the allotted time period.	- Expenditure of funds within the allotted time period is unclear.
Project budget maximum \$5,000 150 words faculty are responsible for reviewing information in JMU Funded Grant Considerations document	- Budget itemizes and explains expenditures requested for project outcomes Budget contains no items of concern If applicable: Budget discloses other funding or grant applications relevant to the project and clearly positions mini-grant funds in relation to the larger funding scope.	- Budget itemizes expenditures requested for project outcomes Budget contains items potentially subject to departmental and/or institutional review - If applicable: Budget discloses other funding or grant applications relevant to the project and positions mini-grant funds in relation to the larger funding scope.	- Budget contains items that are beyond the scope of funding
Proposal overall	 Proposal includes all required information and meets given guidelines. Proposal language is clear and accessible. 	 Proposal includes all required information and meets given guidelines. Proposal language is accessible. 	- Proposal does not include required information or meet given guidelines.

^{*} revised by Research & Scholarship Committee (Feb 2025)