





Organisational briefs on lessons learned in survey

Cluster Munition Remnant Survey Workshop Washington D.C, 09 June 2017

Presented by: Chan Rotha, DSG of CMAA Email: chanrotha@cmaa.gov.kh



Presentation Outline

- I. Mine/ERW History in Cambodia
- **II. Operational Intervention**
 - 1. CMAA key role
 - 2. Baseline Survey
 - **3. Land Release**
 - 4. Quality Management (QA+QC)
 - **5. Planning and Prioritization**
 - 6. Information Management
- **III. Challenges**
- **IV. Lessons learned**
- V. How we address



Cambodia's landmine problem is the result of a protracted sequence of internal end of 1998. The nature of landmine and explosive remnants of war (ERW) contamination in Cambodia is highly complex.

The north-western regions bordering Thailand are heavily affected by landmines, while other parts of the country (mainly the East) are considered moderate to low impact, affected mainly by ERW.

From 1979 to Feb 2017, a total of 64,681 mine/ERW casualties were recorded in IMSMAng



1940' s	1950' s	1960's	1970' s	1980' s	1990' s
World War II Colonial rule and struggle for independence	Peace and independence	US-Indochina wars and heavy bombing started	Heavy bombing continued	Ground battles and use of Landmines	Limited ground battles and use of landmines
ERW		ERW (heavy) Some landmines	ERW (heavy) Some landmines	Heavy use of landmines Scattered ERW	Use of landmines Scattered ERW3



During these conflicts, many kind of landmines were laid year after year to establish their respective defensive bases and offensive tactic & ERW left.













II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 1. CMAA key roles

- The Cambodian Mine Action and Victim Assistance Authority (CMAA) is responsible for coordinating, monitoring and regulating the mine action sector in Cambodia.
- It was established in 2000, and continues to play a critical role in combatting the negative impact of landmines and ERW in Cambodia.
- The CMAA work across all four pillars of mine action (clearance, mine risk education, victim assistance and advocacy)
- The CMAA has a long history of successful work in all areas of mine action, including victim assistance, clearance, mine risk education and advocacy.



II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 2. Baseline Survey

CMAA department of Regulation and Monitoring (R&M) is an indispensable component of quality management in landmine and ERW clearance through adopting the Cambodian Mine Action Standard (CMAS) for guiding international and national operators to comply with accordingly towards land safety assurance.

Why we need BLS?

- Identifying priority tasks of clearance for MA actors.
- Easing mine clearance planning & prioritization process.
- Superseding the 2002's L1S results no longer present the real situation of its mine/ERW problems.
- Providing the real situation to donors for its ER
- Responding to NSDP & CMDGs
- Allowing better targeting mine action resources.



Under Clearing For Results Project, BLS started in August 2009 and completed 124 targeted districts by the end of 2012 which was divided and conducted in 3 phases:

- 1. The 23 most mine affected districts
- 2. The 42 mine affected districts
- 3. The 59 lower mine/ERW affected districts.











Land Classification Standard (result of BLS)

CLASSIFICATION		SUB-CLASSIFICATION
	A1	Land containing dense concentration of AP mines
A: Mined Area	A2.1	Land containing mixed dense AP and AT mines
Land that presents	A2.2	Land containing mixed scattered AP and AT mines
evidence of mines	A3	Land containing AT mines
	A4	Land containing scattered or nuisance presence of AP mines
	B1	Land containing ERW (not including mines)
B: Residual Threat Land	B1.1	Land containing aircraft bomb
Land that presents	B1.2	Land containing cluster munitions/bombies
evidence of ERW or an	B1.3	Location of Ground Battles
indeterminate presence of	B1.4	Land containing stockpiles/caches
mines	B1.5	Abandoned military compounds
	B2	Land with no verifiable mine threat
C: End State Land	C1	Cancelled / Reclaimed Land
Land that presents no	C2	Land Reduced through Technical Survey
obvious threat	C3	Cleared Land







II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION

3. Land Release

From Area Reduction Policy → Land Release Policy

Why?

- To **accelerate** the release of land in support of Extension Request targets
- To increase the release of land in support of development
- To use resources more efficiently onto hazard areas

What?

 Process of reclassifying the status of known or suspected mined areas to end state land.

How?

- Land is released from the suspicion of mines/ERW through:
 - 1- Non-Technical
 Survey
 2- Technical Survey
 3- Clearance



BLS Land Classification	LR Methodolog y	LR Technique	Criteria	End State Land	
B1.1 (Land containing a.roratt.bomb)	NTS	Land cancellation	Applicable to areas where subsequent non-technical survey established that there is no evidence of a hazard. Wrong survey	C1	
	TS	Targeted Investigation /ECD Spot Task	Applicable to area where subsequent non-technical survey/task assessment established that there is evidence of a hazard. (Size of hazardous area should be captured and cleared 25m X 25m), but if air craft bombs were dropped as partern/serries and repeated then it shall be captured as polygon	C2	
	Clearance	Clearance	Applicable to areas where aircraft bombs were dropped as pertern and repeated. Clearance refer to CMAS 37	C3	
B1.2 N1 (Land containing cluster munitions/ T bombies)	NTS	Land cancellation	Cancelled land is previously suspected land that has been incorrectly surveyed and where subsequent non technical survey has established that there is no evidence of a hazard	C1	
		Land reclamation	Applicable to area that has been ploughed by rotivator/ iron buffalo for at least 3 times without any accident or evidence of submunition presence.	CI	
	TS	Systematic investigation	Applicable to areas where submunition presence cannot be determined by non-technical survey/task assessment.	C2	
	Clearance	Clearance	Applicable to area where CHA is determined. Clearance refer to CMAS 07.	C3	
B1.3 (Location of Ground Battles)	NTS	Land cancellation	Applicable to areas where subsequent non-technical survey established that there is no evidence of a hazard.		
		Land reclamation	Applicable to areas that have been ploughed by heavy tractors for at least 3 times without accident or evidence of ERW prosonce.	C1	
	TS	Target investigation	Applicable to larges where likely be ERW in some spots are discovered by non-technical survey/task assessment.	C2	
		Systematic investigation	Applicable to larges where some concerns on submunitions presence are discovered by inon-technical survey/task assessment.		
	Clearance	Clearance	Applicable to area where the evidence of ERW presence can be determined. Clearance refer to CMAS 07.	C3	
B1.4 (Land containing stockpiles/ caches)	Clearance	Clearance	Applicable to area where the land containing stockpiles/caches can be determined.	C3	
-	NTS	Land cancellation	Applicable to areas where subsequent non-technical survey established that there is no evidence of a hazard.	C1	
B1.5 (Abandoned military		Land reclamation	Applicable to areas that have been bloughed by rowator/heavy tractors for at least 3 times without accident or evidence of ERW presence		
compounds)	TS	Systematic investigation	Nol applicable		
	Clearance	Clearance	Applicable to area where the evidence of ERW presence can be determined. Clearance refer to CMAS 07.	C3	

Definitions of technical terms used in this Guide:

Terms	Suggestion
Cancelled Land	Cancelled and is previously suspected land that has been incorrectly surveyed and where subsequent non-technical survey has established that there is no evidence of a hazard.
Reclaimed Land	Reclaimed land is previously suspected and that has been put back into productive use involving ground-intrusive activity and ploughed a minimum of three times without accident or evidence of ERW.

containing cluster munitions/	
bombies)LandApplicable to area that has beenReclamationPloughed by rotivator/ iron buffalo for aIeast 3 times without any accident orevidence of submunition presence.	:
TS Applicable to areas where submunition Systematic investigatio n	C2
Clearance Clearance Applicable to area where CHA is determined. Clearance refer to CMAS 07.	C3

- ERW as polygon (B1.2), the size is not over 30 ha (Repeated and pattern drops of bombs)
- ERW as point (B1.1), the size is by 25m x 25m (One spot/single target of bomb)





II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 3. Land Release

Land Release Methodology

NON TECHNICAL SURVEY CLEARANCE TECHNICAL SURVEY Full Coverage investigation suspect ion of ERW and where it is deemed ines!

Area cancelled in Sqm

Area reduced in Sqm

Area cleared in Sqm





Land Release in Cambodia shall be applied:

- > A formal well documented and recorded process for investigation;
- > Well defined and objective criteria for the reclassification of land;
- An external Quality Management process implemented by CMAA
- A high degree of community involvement and acceptance of decision making;
- > A formal process of handover of land prior to the release of land;
- > An on-going monitoring mechanism after the handover has taken place.



II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 4. Quality Management (QA+Q

Role and Responsibility of QM Teams

- Monitor NTS/TS implemented by operators
- Monitor all mine/UXO clearances by all operator

Senior Team

Member

- Monitor land after cleared
- Investigate mine/UXO accident
- > Attend Handover process





Team

Member



II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 4. Quality Management (QA+QC)

Quality Management = Quality Assurance + Quality Control Equipment of QM Team







II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 4. Quality Management (QA+QC)

The process of quality assurance inspection





II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 4. Quality Management (QA+QC)

Why we need QM Teams?

- ➤ To ensure that all mine/UXO clearances performed safety
- ➤ To ensure that the lands cleared were safety
- Build more confident for land user
- Build more confident for donors





II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 5. Planning and Prioritization

ເຄຊາສເອັສ C.M.A.A

Policy Guidelines and Operation Guidelines on Socio-Economic Management of Mine Clearance Operations (Planning & Prioritization Guidelines)





The Baseline Survey results are the effective tools for the planning and prioritization of clearance.





II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 6. Information Management (IM)

IMSMA system is considered as the National Database System For Mine Action since the successful testing and implementing fully in 2015.

CMAA DBU is tasked to collect, store, maintain, and analyse data for supporting to planning and periodization, operational strategy, decision making, especially reporting to government and international report obligation.





II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 6. Information Management (IM)

Types of datasets:

- Village Information
- Baseline Survey (BLS)
- Land Release (LR)
- Accident/Victim
- Post Clearance Monitoring (PCM)
- Mine Risk Education (MRE)
- Victim Assistance (VA)
- Explosive Ordnace Disposal (EOD)
- Quality Assurance (QA): Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD)
 - -Manual Clearance (MAN)
 - -Mine Detecting Dog (MDD)
 - -Explosive Storage (EXS)
 - -Mechanical Clearance (MEC)
 - -Technical Survey (TS)
- Quality Check (QC)









Current Data Flow Structure



II. OPERATONAL INTERVENTION 6. Information Management (IM)



IM Products showing type of contamination by provincestage of Current Contamination (2009-Apr2017)



Percentage of Current Contamination (2009-Apr2017)



Mine ERW Cluster



Original Area Size(Km2) — Released Area Size(km2) — Remained Area Size(Km2)



III. CHALLENGES

-Huge contamination to address 1,970km2
(BLS is still going on in the east by 2020)
-Mine/ERW Incident still occurring
-Level of funding scaling down...

Current Contamination (2009-Apr2017)







Challenges:

Limited support of Quality Management (QM) on CM clearance in the eastern part of the country

Limited EOD response support and to address the requests of the community and lack of comprehensive reporting and response system

Mine/ERW/CM Coordination mechanism is yet fully functioned.







IV. LESSONS LEARNT

Baseline Survey Methodology:

- Define the boundary of suspected mine/ ERW
- Avoid overlapping surveys by operators
- Classify land contamination
- Have one system for recording all BLS by operators via IMSMA
- Land Release Methodology:

Speed up releasing lands efficiency and effectiveness (C1, C2, C3)

Quality Management

Ensure appropriate tools applied upon the following SOPs
Ensure safety land return to local communities

Planning & Prioritization

Respond to real communities needs by engaging operators, stakeholders, local people and authority under leadership of MAPU

Information Management

Support up-to-date mine action information as well as reporting to government, MAPU, operators, and mine action stakeholders
 National database system (IMSMA) is able to record more info.





V. HOW WE ADDRESS

__.....

5 Goals of NMAS 2018-2025 (1st draft)

- Strategy to address Mined areas, Cluster Munition areas, ERW
- Operational Arrangement
- Coordination Mechanisms
 - Technical Working Group
 - Mine Action Coordination
 - Technical Reference Group
- National Technical Directive
 - Directive on ERW/CM release
 - Directive on planning and prioritization
- Cambodian Mine Action Standard (CMAS)
 - Review chapters in reflecting newly approaches or technology as identified or deemed
- National Information Management
 - Sustain and improve the National IM System (operators' involvement and newly technology upgrade)





Thank you for your attention!

Questions?