

**FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIORAL ASSESSMENT,
BEHAVIORAL INTERVENTION PLANS,
AND POSITIVE INTERVENTION AND SUPPORTS:
AN ESSENTIAL PART OF
EFFECTIVE SCHOOLWIDE DISCIPLINE
IN VIRGINIA**



Virginia Department of Education
Richmond, Virginia

Second Edition, 2005-2006

Introduction

The 2004 reauthorization of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, renamed the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA), contains various provisions that relate to the academic performance and classroom conduct of students with disabilities. These legislative provisions will have a significant impact on the roles and responsibilities of most school personnel. In response to this legislation, the Virginia Department of Education formed committees to examine various aspects of IDEA. Our committee was charged with addressing those provisions of IDEA that relate to student behavior that impedes the teaching/learning process. The information contained in this booklet grew out of a series of discussions on emerging effective practices for dealing with student behavior problems and is intended to emphasize information already available. Committee members included parents, school administrators, psychologists, general and special education classroom teachers representing the public and private sectors, university researchers and teacher educators, and mental health and other community agency personnel (see Appendix A). Subcommittee members responsible for authoring this booklet included:

Pat Abrams
Director
Special Education Instructional Services
Virginia Department of Education
Richmond, VA

Marissa Brown
Parent Representative
Vienna, VA

Irene Walker-Bolton
Educational Specialist
Special Education Instructional Services
Virginia Department of Education
Richmond, VA

Michael J. Friedman
Behavioral Specialist
Prince William County Public Schools
Manassas, VA

Kim Yanek
Educational Specialist
Old Dominion University T-TAC
Norfolk, VA

Robert A. Gable

Professor of Special Education
Old Dominion University
Norfolk, VA

Elam W. Jarrells
IEP Coordinator
Department of Correctional Education
Richmond, VA

Anne Malatchi
Virginia Institute for Developmental Disabilities
Virginia Commonwealth University
Richmond, VA

Carol Shumate
Educational Consultant
Warrenton, VA

C. J. Butler
Instructional Specialist for Student Services
York County Public Schools
Yorktown, VA

Emerging Challenges and Opportunities for Virginia Schools

School administrators, classroom teachers, and parents share common goals—to help students increase their academic achievement, improve positive relationships, and develop qualities and skills that lead to a successful and satisfying life. To accomplish these goals, schools throughout the Commonwealth have begun a number of initiatives to improve student performance on the Standards of Learning. Progress to date is encouraging. However, numerous challenges remain to ensuring safe and effective schools for all students.

Teachers at all grade levels recognize that not every student comes to school ready to learn. Because of diverse backgrounds and experiences, students possess differing levels of preparation for learning. Now, both general and special educators are responsible for teaching students who evidence serious academic problems. Other students may lack appropriate social skills, problem-solving skills, or self-control.

One or two students can monopolize a substantial amount of teacher time and energy and impede the teaching/learning process. When these situations arise, practitioners usually rely on standard strategies to deal with misbehavior. Either independently or with the support of their colleagues, teachers find ways to intervene to eliminate the problem. The majority of students respond positively to these efforts because previous experience has enabled them to learn from simple interventions and negative consequences. These intervention strategies teachers use include sharing behavioral expectations with students, enforcing classroom rules, using physical proximity to students, promoting high levels of academic engagement, praising appropriate student behavior and giving regular feedback on performance, delivering mild reprimands for improper behavior, and enforcing a loss of privileges. Unfortunately, for some students, these strategies fail to produce the desired outcome and may even exacerbate an already difficult situation. Today, a growing number of youngsters exhibit behaviors that challenge the success of daily classroom instruction. Recent Federal legislation includes provisions that address the

negative effects that student behavior can have on classroom teaching and

learning.

Federal Legislation and Its Impact on Schools

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA) requires schools to address impeding behavior through the use of functional behavioral assessment, behavioral intervention planning, and positive academic and behavioral supports. The Act states what is required of teams that develop individualized education programs (IEPs) in addressing problem behaviors of children and youths.

- The team must explore the need for strategies and support systems to address any behavior that may impede the learning of the child with the disability or the learning of others [IDEA Amendments, 20 U.S.C. § 1414(d)(3)(B)(i)].
- In response to certain disciplinary actions by school personnel, the IEP team must, within 10 days, meet to formulate a functional behavioral assessment plan to collect data for developing a behavioral intervention plan; or, if a behavioral intervention plan already exists, the team must review and revise it (as necessary), to ensure that it addresses the behavior upon which disciplinary action is predicated [IDEA Amendments, 20 U.S.C. § 1415(k)(1)(B)(i-ii)].

The IEP team must be prepared to assume these new roles and responsibilities as follows:

- States and localities shall address the needs of in-service personnel (including professionals and paraprofessionals who provide special education, general education, related services, or early intervention services) as they relate to developing and implementing positive intervention strategies [IDEA Amendments, 20 U.S.C. § 1453(c)(3)(D)(vi)].

It is with the mandates contained in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 and its reauthorization in 2004 in mind that the Virginia Department of Education has compiled information on the process of functional

behavioral assessment and positive behavioral interventions. The following discussion summarizes the content of a large body of information available to schools through the Training and Technical Assistance Centers (T/TACs) and other providers of technical assistance, such as the Virginia Institute for Developmental Disabilities (VIDD) and the Parent Education Advocacy Training Center (PEATC), located throughout the Commonwealth. For a list of the regional Virginia Department of Education's Training and Technical Assistance Centers, see Appendix D.

A Rationale for Positive Behavioral Intervention

In the past, teachers usually relied on various negative consequences to deal with student misbehavior that interfered with classroom instruction (e.g., verbal warnings or reprimands, timeout, or suspension from school). The goal was to reduce or eliminate the immediate problem. However, teachers now know that these approaches are time consuming and fail to teach the student more acceptable classroom behavior. Also absent is an understanding of why the student misbehaved in the first place.

Today, there is growing recognition that success in dealing with student misbehavior depends on promoting behavior that serves the same function (or results in the same outcome) for the student as the problem behavior. That approach begins with looking beyond the problem behavior and trying to understand the motivation behind it. Knowledge of what motivates a student to engage in a particular behavior, as when Charles swears at the teacher to get classmates' approval or Susan acts up in geography class to avoid a difficult assignment, is essential to developing an effective intervention plan.

The logic behind functional assessment is that practically all student behavior is purposeful—it satisfies a need and is related to the context in which it occurs (e.g., in the classroom, on the playground, in the hallway). And, we know that students are likely to cease behaving a certain way when a different behavior will more effectively and efficiently satisfy the same need. For this reason, identifying the motivation for a behavior—what the student gets, avoids, or communicates through the behavior—is essential to

finding ways to effectively address behavior that disrupts the learning environment and interferes with academic instruction.

The Relationship Between Behavior and Achievement

Teachers have long understood that resolving student academic difficulties begins with a thorough assessment. Questions teachers routinely ask include "Does the student possess the prerequisites to learning the skill? Has the student been taught the skill? Has the student mislearned one or more aspects of the skill? Does the student have any interest in the subject?" Today, we understand that the same logic applies to behavior problems. As with academic problems, most behavior problems reflect errors in learning and/or skill deficits that can be resolved through high-quality programs of instruction. The content of those programs stems from what is known as functional behavioral assessment (FBA).

In IDEA, functional behavioral assessment is described as a team problem-solving process. It calls for a variety of techniques and strategies to identify the reason or reasons behind inappropriate or unacceptable behavior and ways to deal with the behavior. Accordingly, teams seek to identify the major factors associated with the problem situation to better understand the motivation behind the behavior. The purpose for conducting a FBA is to identify and promote behavior that serves the same function for the student as the inappropriate behavior but is more acceptable or appropriate. By examining the problem and identifying the reason(s) why a student misbehaves, school personnel can reduce or eliminate behavior that impedes learning and facilitate more acceptable behavior.

While the language of IDEA emphasizes the use of these practices for students with disabilities, the same procedures can apply to students without disabilities. There are circumstances under which schools must afford students without disabilities the same procedural safeguards as students with disabilities. Examples include when a student's performance or behavior demonstrates a need for special education or when a parent has requested an evaluation. In the next section, we discuss briefly the steps

school personnel can take to conduct a functional behavioral assessment.

Steps to Conducting a Functional Behavioral Assessment

1. Verify the Seriousness of the Problem

Experience has shown that many classroom problems can be eliminated by consistently applying standard strategies of proven effectiveness. In an effort to address minor problems so they do not grow into larger ones, school personnel usually introduce one or more of these strategies before initiating a functional behavioral assessment. When it is clear the behavior manifested by a student cannot be resolved through standard means as well as in response to situations for which the law requires a functional behavioral assessment and a behavioral intervention plan, then school personnel should consider initiating a FBA.

2. Define the Problem Behavior

Before determining the techniques to be used to conduct a functional behavioral assessment, the teacher and the IEP team should define the problem behavior in observable and measurable terms. If descriptions of behaviors are vague, such as “Susan has a poor attitude,” it will be difficult for the team to identify the function the behavior serves, decide on an appropriate intervention, or devise an appropriate way to evaluate its success. Later, after more information has been collected, the team can refine the definition of the behavior by including multiple examples of the behavior (e.g., Susan refuses teacher assistance, argues with the teacher, never offers to answer questions in class, never hands in homework).

3. Collect Information on the Reasons Behind the Problem

Once the IEP team has defined the problem behavior, team members can begin to observe the student and the school environment to determine the exact nature of the problem. The team generally collects information on the times, conditions, and individuals present when problem behavior is most versus least likely to occur; the events or conditions that typically occur before and after the behavior; and other relevant information regarding the problem behavior.

The team might begin the assessment process by conducting a series of classroom observations. An examination of these data may suggest times and settings in which to conduct further observations to document the variables that are most predictive of inappropriate student behavior. It also may be useful to observe situations in which the student performs successfully to compare conditions that evoke appropriate versus inappropriate behavior. For example, Jackie may perform successfully in science class but routinely disrupt the history class by calling out or teasing other students.

Teams are always able to observe the events that precipitate student misbehavior. Depending on the behavior of concern, it is crucial that teams use indirect as well as direct means to identify the likely reasons behind the misbehavior. Indirect methods include a review of the student's cumulative records, such as health, medical, and educational records, as well as structured interviews with teachers, other school personnel (e.g., bus driver, cafeteria workers), or the student of concern. Gaining knowledge of the student's strengths and preferences is also useful.

Teachers know that events affecting a student outside the classroom may increase the likelihood of classroom problems. Both past and present events can increase the chance that the student will pose a challenge in the classroom. These "setting events" can range from a longstanding pattern of negative classroom interactions to a fight with another child at the bus stop. For these reasons, interviews conducted with the student and his or her parents or guardian can be an important source of information in understanding the function(s) of the misbehavior.

In most cases, various persons collect multiple types of information, since a single source will not produce accurate information—especially if the problem behavior serves various functions under different circumstances. IEP teams have learned that since no two students misbehave for exactly the same reasons, no two functional assessments, are likely to produce the same kind or amount of information.

4. Analyze Information Collected on the Problem Behavior

Once the IEP team is satisfied that sufficient information has been collected, the next step is to determine what can be learned about the problem behavior and the context in which it occurs. Such an analysis helps the team to decide whether there are any specific patterns associated with the behavior. The team carefully reviews the information to look for any patterns of events that predict when and under what circumstances the behavior is most or least likely to occur, what is maintaining the behavior, and the likely function(s) of the behavior.

Upon review, the team may conclude that Charles disrupts class by shouting and cursing whenever the teacher calls on him to read material he feels is too difficult. In this example, Charles's behavior typically leads to his removal from class and the reading task. In collecting information on student behavior, teams understand that even an occasional event or unusual condition cannot be ruled out as a reason for the misbehavior.

5. Develop a Hypothesis About the Function of the Problem Behavior

Next, the IEP team formulates a hypothesis statement, or "best guess," regarding the likely function(s) of the problem behavior. The statement relates to what the student receives, avoids, or may be communicating with the misbehavior. The hypothesis can then be used to predict the social and/or academic environmental context under which the behavior is most likely to occur and the possible reason(s) why the student engages in the behavior.

6. Verify the Hypothesis About the Function of the Problem Behavior

Before proceeding with an intervention, it is usually a good idea to take time to modify various classroom conditions in an attempt to verify the IEP team's assumptions regarding the likely function(s) of the behavior. For instance, the team may hypothesize that during class discussions, Maurice makes rude remarks or calls out to get the attention of classmates. Thus, the teacher arranges for peer tutoring for Maurice to get the attention he seeks for appropriate rather than inappropriate behavior. If this strategy produces a positive change in Maurice's behavior, then the team can

assume its hypothesis was correct and a behavioral intervention plan can be fully implemented; however, if Maurice's behavior is unchanged, then a new hypothesis needs to be formulated.

In some instances, it may not be necessary or appropriate to manipulate classroom conditions to observe their effects on student behavior. For example, with severe acting-out behavior, the team should immediately implement an intervention and evaluate its impact against any available assessment information. Based on that evaluation, the team should be ready to make any necessary adjustments in the plan.

7. Develop and Implement a Behavioral Intervention Plan

After collecting enough information to identify the function(s) of the student behavior, the IEP team must develop or revise a behavioral intervention plan. The plan should include positive strategies, program modifications, and the supplementary aids and supports required to address the behavior, as well as any staff supports or training that may be needed. Many teams develop an intervention plan that includes one or more of the following strategies or procedures:

- Teach the student more acceptable behavior that serves the same function as the inappropriate behavior (e.g., ways to get peer attention through positive social initiations).
- Modify the classroom setting events (e.g., physical arrangements of the classroom, management strategies, seating arrangements).
- Modify the antecedent events (e.g., teacher instruction) and/or consequent events (e.g., precise praise, verbal/nonverbal feedback).
- Modify the consequent events (e.g., precise praise, verbal and nonverbal feedback).
- Modify aspects of the curriculum and/or the instruction (e.g., multilevel instruction).
- Introduce a reinforcement-based intervention (e.g., student contract).

For the majority of problem situations, there is more than one solution that can result in a positive outcome. Generally, a behavioral intervention plan includes steps to accomplish the following:

- Deal with any recurrent episodes of the problem behavior.
- Teach the student appropriate ways to get what he or she wants.
- Ensure frequent opportunities for the student to engage in and be reinforced for demonstrating acceptable behavior.

In developing behavioral intervention plans, IEP teams should take into account gender, ethnic, cultural, and linguistic differences among students.

Most authorities agree that it is usually ineffective and often unethical to use punishment as the only means of addressing student misconduct. With functional assessment, the emphasis is on teaching students new skills with which to become more effective and efficient learners.

The success of an intervention plan rests on the student's engaging in the appropriate behavior without continued external support. Accordingly, teams may need to incorporate strategies to promote the maintenance, durability, and longevity of appropriate student behavior. One strategy is to structure positive peer interactions; another is to instruct the student to use self-talk, self-cueing, or self-reinforcement.

In some cases, supplemental aids and supports may be necessary to help the student to maintain the appropriate behavior. For example, the student may need to work with classmates to satisfy a need for peer attention in appropriate ways. Supports may also include curricular modifications to decrease a student's avoidance of academic situations or instruction to increase the student's verbal skills and ability to respond appropriately to stressful situations.

8. Evaluate Fidelity in Implementing the Plan

It is good practice for the IEP team to monitor the accuracy and consistency with which the intervention plan is implemented. To do so, the team might spell out the various components of the intervention plan, along with the individual(s) responsible for its implementation. Then, a checklist of steps or a script—a step-by-step description of the intervention and its application, can be developed for each person responsible for implementing the plan.

9. Evaluate the Effectiveness of the Intervention Plan

A second evaluation procedure should be developed to evaluate changes in the behavior itself. Initial or baseline information can serve as a standard against which to judge any changes in behavior. Evaluating the effects of the intervention will yield data upon which the team can judge future changes in the intervention plan. Subsequent review of the data or student behavior can help to determine the effects of the intervention across time.

10. Modify the Intervention Plan

IDEA states that a behavioral intervention plan must be reviewed and revised whenever the IEP team feels that an adjustment is necessary. The circumstances that may warrant such a review include the following:

- The student no longer exhibits problems in behavior, and the team terminates the plan.
- The situation has changed, and the plan no longer addresses the student's needs.
- The IEP team determines during a manifestation determination review that the behavior intervention strategies are inconsistent with the student's IEP or placement.
- The original plan is not producing positive changes in the student's

behavior.

In the end, the process of functional behavioral assessment is not complete until we see meaningful changes in student behavior.

Summary and Conclusion

By following the steps involved in conducting a functional behavioral assessment, IEP teams can devise behavioral intervention plans and provide academic and behavioral supports to teach students how to achieve better results in school. The actual composition of the team responsible for conducting the functional behavioral assessment may vary from school to school and according to the severity of the problem behavior. With in-service training, experience, and technical support, IEP teams can successfully conduct functional behavioral assessments and develop sound behavioral intervention plans to address a range of problem behaviors.

As mentioned earlier, most student behavior problems reflect errors in learning or skill deficits that can be remediated through quality programs of instruction. The Virginia Department of Education fully supports a positive approach to addressing the disciplinary provisions of IDEA and its implementing regulations. Behavioral supports should be identified and developed in response to minor episodes of student misconduct to prevent their escalation into more serious behavior problems.

Research and experience substantiate that incorporating functional behavioral assessment into a larger organizational framework of proactive school-wide and classroom-level academic and behavioral supports will make Virginia schools safer and more effective learning environments for all students. For that reason, the Virginia Department of Education is committed to increasing the capacity of local school divisions to implement functional behavioral assessment and positive behavioral intervention plans and supports. Statewide training is being offered on effective schoolwide discipline and positive intervention practices.

Based on the assertion that all children can learn, the Virginia

Department of Education supports five basic assumptions about increasing student academic achievement. All students learn best in an educational environment where:

1. Safety and security is maintained and mutual respect is nurtured.
2. School-wide and classroom-level academic and behavioral supports are routinely available.
3. Emphasis is on prevention of and early intervention for academic and behavioral problems.
4. Administrators, faculty, and parents assume a collaborative relationship in addressing the teaching/learning process.
5. A school/home partnership promotes positive academic and behavioral outcomes for all students.

This material has been reviewed by the National Advisory Board for the Commonwealth Institute for Positive Academic and Behavioral Supports.

*Dr. Maureen Conroy
University of Florida*

*Dr. James Fox
East Tennessee State University*

*Dr. C. Michael Nelson
University of Kentucky*

*Dr. Gary Sasso
University of Iowa*

*Dr. Richard Van Acker
University of Illinois at Chicago*

*Dr. Robert B. Rutherford, Jr.
Arizona State University*

*Dr. Jo Hendrickson
University of Iowa*

*Dr. John Umbreit
University of Arizona*

*Dr. Mary Magee Quinn
The Center for Effective
Collaboration and Practice*

*Dr. Timothy Knoster
Pennsylvania Department
of Education*

Appendix A

Subgroup II on Disciplinary Procedures

Pat Abrams
Virginia Department of Education

Erik Laursen
Charterhouse School

Marisa Brown
Virginia Special Education
Advisory Committee

Anne Malatchi
Virginia Institute for Developmental
Disabilities/Virginia Commonwealth
University

C. J. Butler
York County Public Schools

Howard Ormond
New Kent County Public Schools

Jessica Chisom
Roanoke County Public Schools

Carol Shumate
Consultant

Michael Friedman
Prince William County Public Schools

Roslyn Taylor
Virginia Department of Education

Robert A. Gable
Old Dominion University

Karen Trump
Virginia Department of Education

Shannon Hardy
George Mason University T/TAC

Irene Walker-Bolton
Virginia Department of Education

Nancy Haynes
Virginia Department of Education

Kim Yanek
T-TAC Old Dominion University

Elam Jarrells
Department of Correctional Education

Teresa Zutter
Fairfax County Public Schools

Paul Lancaster
Bath County Public Schools

Appendix B

Selected Readings on Functional Assessment

The following references served as the basis for this monograph and represent useful sources of additional information on functional behavioral assessment and behavioral intervention plans.

Alberto, P. A., & Troutman, A. C. (2003). *Applied behavior analysis for teachers* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill/Prentice-Hall.

Bambara, L. M., & Knoster, T. P. (1995). *Effective behavioral support*. Harrisburg: Pennsylvania Department of Education.

Conroy, M. A., Clark, D., Gable, R. A., & Fox, J. J. (1999). A look at IDEA 1997 discipline provisions: Implications for change in the roles and responsibilities of school personnel. *Preventing School Failure, 43*, 64 - 70.

Conroy, M. A., Clark, D., Gable, R. A., & Fox, J. J. (1999). Building competency in the use of functional behavioral assessment. *Preventing School Failure, 43*, 140 - 144.

ERIC/OSEP Special Project. (1999). Positive behavioral support. *Research Connections in Special Education, 4*, 1 - 8.

ERIC/OSEP Special Project. (1997). School-wide behavior management systems. *Research Connections in Special Education, 1*, 1 - 8.

Federal Register, (1999, March 12). 64(48), 34 CFR Parts 300 and 303.

Gable, R.A., & Van Acker, R. (2003). Sometimes, practice makes imperfect: Strategies for addressing the automaticity of challenging behavior. *Education and Treatment of Children, 24*, 476-489.

Gable, R. A., Hendrickson, J.M., & Van Acker, R. (2001). Maintaining the integrity of FBA-based interventions in schools. *Education and Treatment of Children, 24*, 248-260.

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 20 U.S.C. § 1400 *et seq.*

Jolivet, K., Wehby, J. H., & Hirsch, L. (1999). Academic strategy identification for students exhibiting inappropriate classroom behavior. *Behavioral Disorders, 24*, 210 - 221.

Kameenui, E. J., & Darch, C. B. (1995). *Instructional classroom management: A proactive approach to behavior management*. Arlington, VA: Council for Exceptional Children.

Kerr, M. M., & Nelson, C. M. (2002). *Strategies for addressing behavior problems in the classroom* (4th ed.). New York: Macmillan.

Lane, K.L., Gresham, F.M., & O'Shaughnessy, T.E. (2002). *Intervention for children with or at risk for emotional and behavioral disorders*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Mathur, S. R., Quinn, M. M., & Rutherford, R. B. (1996). *Teacher-mediated behavior management strategies for children with emotional/behavioral disorders*. Arlington, VA: Council for Children with Behavioral Disorders.

Nelson, J. R., Roberts, M. L., & Smith, D. J. (1998). *Conducting functional behavioral assessments: A practical guide*. Longmont, CO: Sopris West.

Nichols, P. (2000). The role of cognition and affect in a functional behavioral analysis. *Exceptional Children, 66*, 393 - 402.

O'Neill, R. E., Horner, R. H., Albin, R. W., Sprague, J. R., Storey, K., & Newton, J. S. (1997). *Functional assessment and program development for problem behavior: A practical guide*. (2nd ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Reed, H., Thomas, E., Sprague, J. R., & Horner, R. H. (1997). Student guided functional assessment interview: An analysis of student and teacher agreement. *Journal of Behavioral Education, 7*, 33 - 49.

Scott, T. M. (2001). A schoolwide example of positive behavioral support. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 3*, 88 - 94.

Scott, T.M., Nelson, C.M., Liaupin, C.J., Jolivette, K., Christle, C. A., & Riney, M. (2002). Addressing the needs of at risk and adjudicated youth through positive behavior support: Effective preventive practices. *Education and Treatment of Children, 25*, 532-551.

Skiba, R. J., & Peterson, R. L. (2000). School discipline at the crossroads: From zero tolerance to early responses. *Exceptional Children, 66*, 335 - 347.

Sugai, G. M., & Lewis, T. J. (1996). Preferred and promising practices for social skill instruction. *Focus on Exceptional Children, 29*, 1 - 16.

Sugai, G., Lewis-Palmer, T., & Hagan, S. (1999). Using functional assessments to develop behavior support plans. *Preventing School Failure, 43*, 6 - 13.

Townsend, B. (2000). The disproportionate discipline of African American learners: Reducing school suspensions and expulsion. *Exceptional Children, 66*, 381 - 391.

Umbreit, J. (1995). Functional assessment and intervention in a regular classroom setting for the disruptive behavior of a student with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Behavioral Disorders, 20*, 267 - 278.

Walker, H. M., Colvin, G., & Ramsey, E. (2004). *Antisocial behavior in school: Strategies and best practices. (2nd ed.)* Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Witt, J.C., Daly, E.J., & Noell, G.H. (2000). *Functional assessments: A step-by-step guide to solving academic and behavior problems.* Longmont, CO: Sopris-West.

Yesseldyke, J., & Christenson, S. (2002). *Functional assessment of academic behavior: Creating successful academic environments.* Longmont, CO: Sopris-West.

Appendix C

Primary Authors

Robert A. Gable, Professor, Old Dominion University

Mary Magee Quinn, Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice,
Washington, DC

Robert B. Rutherford, Jr., Professor, Arizona State University

Kenneth W. Howell, Professor, Western Washington University

Catherine C. Hoffman, Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice,
Washington, DC

C. J. Butler, Educational Specialist, York County Public Schools, Yorktown, VA

National Contributors and Field Reviewers

Ed Amundson, *National Education Association*

Beth Bader, *American Federation of Teachers*

George Batsche, *University of South Florida*

George Bear, *National Association of School Psychologists*

Denise M. Conrad, *Behavior Specialist, Toledo Public Schools*

Maureen Conroy, *University of Florida*

Kevin Dwyer, *National Association of School Psychologists*

James Fox, *East Tennessee State University*

Frank Gresham, *University of California, Riverside*

Marsha Griswold, *Baltimore City Public Schools*

Eleanor Guetzloe, *University of South Florida*

Mary Holway, *Parent, Woodbridge, VA*

Dixie Jordan, *PACER Center*

C. Michael Nelson, *University of Kentucky*

David Osher, *Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice*

Trina Osher, *Federation of Families for Children's Mental Health*

Kathy Riley, *American Federation of Teachers*

Pat Rutherford, *Special Education Teacher, Anasazi School, Scottsdale, AZ*

Terry Scott, *University of Florida*

Tom Valore, *West Shore Day Treatment Center, Positive Education
Program*

Virginia Contributors and Field Reviewers

Pat Abrams, *Virginia Department of Education*

Patricia Bickley, *T/TAC Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University*

Brad Bizzell, *T/TAC Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University*

C.J. Butler, *York County Public Schools*
Kenna Colley, *T/TAC Radford University*
Arlene Cundiff, *Virginia Department of Education*
Denyse Doerries, *T/TAC William and Mary*
Judy Douglas, *Virginia Department of Education*
Lynn Everett, *T/TAC Radford University*
Michael Friedman, *Lake Ridge Middle School, Woodbridge, VA*
Robert A. Gable, Principal Investigator, *T-TAC Old Dominion University*
Kay Klein, *T/TAC George Mason University*
Joyce Kube, *Parents and Children Coping Together*
Carolyn Lamm, *Prince William County Public Schools*
Tracy Landon, *Virginia Commonwealth University TTAC*
Tom Larkin, *Richmond Public Schools*
Jannie Laursen, *Richmond Public Schools*
Ginni Lewis, *T/TAC Radford University*
Leslie McArthur-Fox, *T/TAC Radford University*
Reba O'Connor, *Virginia Department of Education*
Howard Ormond, *New Kent County Public Schools*
Marsha Owens, *Virginia Department of Education*
Jennifer Peers, *Participating Parent*
Kenneth S. Roach, *Chesterfield County Public Schools*
Tony Tallent, *T/TAC James Madison University*
Ben Tickle, *T/TAC Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University*
Steve Tonelson, *T-TAC Old Dominion University*
Irene Walker-Bolton, *Virginia Department of Education*
Horace Webb, *Virginia Department of Education*
Sandy Wilberger, *Virginia Commonwealth University TTAC*
Shirley Wiley, *Virginia Commonwealth University TTAC*
Pat Woolard, *T-TAC Old Dominion University*
Kim Yanek, *T-TAC Old Dominion University*

Note: The original version of this document was reviewed for consistency with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (P.L. 105-17) by the U.S. Office of Special Education Programs.

Production of this monograph was made possible by funds from the Virginia Department of Education and a grant from the U. S. Department of Education, grant number H237T60005. The *Center for Effective Collaboration and Practice: Improving Services for Children and Youth with Emotional and Behavioral Problems* is funded under a cooperative agreement with the Office of Special Education Programs, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, U.S. Department of Education, with additional support from the Child, Adolescent, and Family Branch, Center for Mental Health Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration, of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Appendix D

Virginia Department of Education

P. O. Box 2120
Richmond, VA 23218-2120
Phone (800) 292-3820
Fax (804) 371-8796
TDD (800) 422-1098
Website: <http://www.doe.virginia.gov>

Virginia Department of Education's Training and Technical Assistance Centers

T/TAC Office – Regions 1 & 8

Virginia Commonwealth University
10 East Franklin Street, Suite 200
Richmond, VA 23219
Phone (804) 828-6947
Toll free (800) 426-1595
Fax (804) 828-7495
TDD (800) 828-1120
Website: <http://www.vcu.edu/ttac/>

Brunswick County Public Schools
Pickett Park
440 QM Circle South
Blackstone, VA 23824
Phone (434) 292-3723
Toll free (866) 465-0412
Fax (434) 292-7486

T-TAC Office – Regions 2 & 3

Old Dominion University
Child Study Center, Room 224
Norfolk, VA 23529-0164
Phone (757) 683-4333
Toll free (888) 249-5529
Fax (757) 683-3115
TDD (757) 683-5963
Website: <http://www.ttac.odu.edu>

The College of William & Mary
P. O. Box 8795
Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795
Phone (757) 221-1927
Toll free (800) 323-4489
Fax (757) 221-5053
TDD (757) 221-2302
Website: <http://www.wm.edu/ttac/>

T/TAC Office – Region 4

George Mason University
Helen A. Keller Center for Human disAbilities
4400 University Drive Mail Stop 1F2
Fairfax, VA 22030-4444
Phone (703) 993-4496
Toll free (800) 333-7958
Fax (703) 993-4497
TDD (703) 993-3681
Website: <http://www.ttacgmu.org>

T/TAC Office – Region 5

James Madison University
MSC 9002
Harrisonburg, VA 22807
Phone (540) 568-6746
Fax (540) 568-6726
TDD (540) 568-7839
Website: <http://ttac.cisat.jmu.edu/>

T/TAC Office – Regions 6 & 7

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State
University
Office of Educational Research and Outreach
112 Lane Hall (0254)
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0254
Phone (540) 231-5167
Toll free (800) 848-2714
Fax (540) 231-5672
TDD (540) 231-3315
Website: <http://www.ttac.vt.edu>

Radford University T/TAC
RU West
6226 University Park Drive, Suite 1300
Radford, VA 24142
Phone (540) 831-5333
Fax (540) 831-6263
TDD (540) 831-5128
Website: <http://ru-portal.radford.edu/ttac>