to be similar between models, because the size of the search head never changed. Therefore, little modification between models can be assumed, and results between older and newer models will be similar.

Conclusion
A method to analyze blind-test data of metal detectors for evaluating the pinpoint (location) accuracy is discussed and demonstrated with the data from the ITEP 2009 test. By this method, the pinpointing accuracy of metal detectors under field conditions is obtained as a mode and 95th percentile, indicating a pinpoint error that frequently occurs and includes 95% of detections. Additionally, the percentage of detections with...

...the information may be used to establish an operating procedure for detection and safe excavation of landmines.

Sensitivity profile is influenced by many properties as theoretical works and experiments have exhibited, such as the coil and electronic design of the devices, metal content and shape of the target, magnetic and electrical properties of the soil, etc. When clearance operations are planned at a site, the metal-detector model is the only choice users can make, and this determines the sensitivity profile and associated performance. Therefore, the choice is very important.

In the detection-performance analysis of blind tests, the concept of halo radius that sets a circular area around a target to define hit or miss was commonly used. In the CEN Workshop Agreement, the halo radius is “half of the maximum horizontal extent of the metal component in the target plus 100mm.” It is a circular area with a 5m radius for a point-like metal target. According to the results shown in Figure 4 (page 66), 60–80% of the detections are correct and more than 95% of the detections are within the halo definition, counted as a hit, because despite detecting the targets, these detections are outside of the halo. Obtained in this way, results may not show detection performance, but they include pinpointing performance in part. Thus, the definition in the CEN Workshop Agreement sounds a little too strict to evaluate only the detection performance. In the ITEP 2009 test, only a few operators per detector model were available. The number is unfortunately too small to discuss the difference between different operators. Since the accuracy of metal-detector pinpointing probably depends on the operator’s skill and experience, this point could be investigated further, if and when more operators are available.

Lateral-approach Methodology and HSTAMIDS

MAG Cambodia has used the Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System (HSTAMIDS) with lateral-approach methodology for three years within an operational field evaluation funded by the U.S. Department of Defense’s Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate. MAG’s current research tested the productivity of two ways of using LAM combined with HSTAMIDS against the productivity of the traditional one-man one-line drill methodology; this article presents the findings.

by Clifford Allen and Shathei Fabs [MAG Cambodia]
as quick search using a conventional metal detector that involves threat-ning the detector up to 1.2m in and out of the uncut vegetation, moving laterally along the front edge of the area to be cleared, and looking and listening for large signals that may not be at first visible. Any such sig-nals encountered are marked as an obstacle, signifying that these areas are not to be mechanically cut. If it is safe to do so, recognizable surface clutter is removed.

Vegetation-cutting/rock removal. The second phase is cutting the vegetation down to ground level using mechanical means. A long-reach tool with a strimming (mechanical vegetation-cutting) attach-ment is used in this process for various vegetation types. Strimming and rock removal of previously marked quick-search areas are avoi-ded; these areas are cut manually with scythes or similar hand-cutting tools. This phase sometimes requires extra work because deminers must remove rocks from the lane first. Rocks are often preva-lent on hillsides where mines were deployed to protect key installations. Moving the detector up to 1.2m in and out of the uncut vegetation, moving laterally where mines were deployed to protect key installations.

Rake and blowing. The cutting phase is followed by the removal phase, which can involve hand clearance of large vegetation. This phase is backed up by raking and brushing, and calves in the use of an-other long-reach tool with a blower attachment, leaving the lane to be cleared free of vegetation, loose soil and even some surface metal. Mag-nets can also be deployed at this stage if the area contains large amounts of surface metal clutter.

Marking. The red rope may have moved and be out of alignment due to the previous phases of strimming, raking and blowing, so it may have to be adjusted prior to marking. After these adjustments, a blue rope is placed 0.6m into the unclered area running parallel to the red rope. This creates a lane 0.6m wide along the length of the lane. The area cleared within each lateral lane is 0.5m, with the other 0.1m designated for the lane to be cleared free of vegetation, loose soil and even some surface metal. Magnetic markers can also be deployed at this stage if the area contains large amounts of surface metal clutter.

Detection. The next phase is detection or clearance, and it can be executed using either conventional metal detectors or HSTAMIDS. If the former is adopted, the deminer starts a systematic search, sweeping sideways in straight lines, placing red chips on all signals encountered. If HSTAMIDS is used as the primary search tool, the detector operator can immediately differentiate between metal clutter and possible mines, and the operator places blue and red chips (markers) accordingly.

If the area being detected has many signals per cell, then a magnet can be utilized to reduce surface clutter. A senior deminer or a superior, such as a supervisor in Cambodia or a deputy team leader in Angola, should supervise this activity to negate any missed signals. The procedure is to lift a chip and sweep the magnet over the area where the chip was placed, attracting metal fragments to the magnet. A deminer is then passed over the area again, and if the signal has disappeared, the chip is removed. However, if the signal remains, the chip is again placed on the spot for further action. When the cell sweep is completed, the operator moves the sensor/search head forward and continues with the next sweep. The op-erator progresses to the blue-colored rope, thus creating overlap. Overlap should also be maintained at the front and ends of all cells.

If a conventional metal detector is used for detection and marking of the entire lane with red chips, the HSTAMIDS detector then verifies all red chips placed by conducting isolation and moving in a zigzag motion over each chip. Since HSTAMIDS costs upwards of US$14,000–20,000 and conventional metal detectors cost roughly $3,000, the use of a con-ventional metal detector is often used in place of HSTAMIDS. On the other hand, HSTAMIDS' GPS sensors enable the operator to change up to 95% of the red chips to blue, which identifies the remaining 5% as being the only red chips necessary to manually excavate. If the HSTAMIDS is deployed as the primary clearance tool, then the blue and red chips are placed concurrently, depending on the signals HSTAMIDS gives.

Manual excavation. The next phase involves manual clearance with the help of a conventional metal detector. The deminer observes the lane for chips and moves to where the first red chip is placed. If this is, for example, in cell 4, then the excavation deminer will manually excavate that signal using conventional standard-operating-procedure excav-a-tion drills, which is done by centralizing the chip using the metal de-tector. No sweeping toward the signal is required. If the chip is in the correct position, as indicated by the detector, the operator will proceed to excavate the signal location, moving along the lane until all locations are excavated. Mines are not completely excavated; they are only partial-ly excavated to allow a doner charge to be placed alongside unless they are to be neutralized or disarmed. QC/QA is then conducted on the e-xcavations, and any mines are destroyed in-situ.

Rapid excavation drill. The final phase is used to proceed to rapid excavation of all blue chips using mechanical means, which is carried out by an operator using a long-reach tool with a digging attachment. This proce-dure is known as a rapid excavation drill. The long-reach tool operator will excavate each blue chip by digging a trench to the rear or side of the blue chip as per manual drill, and will force their way forward with the long-reach tool until the operator is just behind or alongside the chip. At this point, the operator must stop the digging bit from revolving and brush the chip into the excavation behind or alongside the chip’s posi-tion before continuing excavating 5cm beyond the place where the chip was originally lying.

Brushing the chip aside to continue excavation 5cm beyond will en-sure that all items will either be uncovered or thing to the side, and will enable the long-reach tool operator to determine the source of the signal. Once all the rapid excavations are completed in a given lane, the lane is delineated and the next lane can be prepared. The rapid excavation drill procedure achieves final quality assurance.

Modifications to LAM. Since the inception of LAM, a number of innovative ideas were ad-opted, and most of those have come from the deminers on the ground. For instance, since MAG first utilized LAM and the introduction of rapid-exci-dation drill in November 2008, marking has seen substantial changes. This methodology was simplified and re-engined to opti-mize productivity.

Two improvements in the process were made. First, the red rope with white markers has eliminated the need for conventional minefield pickets at every meter, thereby removing these obstacles for the deminers. Sec-ond, the way in which these ropes are deployed, by means of polyvinyl chloride pipe reels and stakes, is also an improvement. MAG is continu-ously rethinking marking to increase efficiency.

Even without HSTAMIDS, evidence suggests LAM is easier to con-trol, has improved safety benefits, and is more cost efficient on marking materials than the one-man, one-lane drill methodology. LAM could better benefit road/vehicle clearance than the current method of clearing with the one-man, one-lane drill methodology. These productivity ad-vantages can be seen in Figures 1 and 2 (previous page).

On the other hand, using HSTAMIDS in LAM is additionally advan-tageous in a number of ways:

- As shown in Figures 3 and 4 (above), substantial increases in pro-ductivity occur.
- The number of time-consuming and labor-intensive manual exca-vations are minimized.
- Using a blow-saw is seen to have moral-boasting effects on deminers as it clears everything from the lane in a less labor-intensive way.
- Removing some surface metal and stones means the detector oper-ators have an easier time sweeping the detector close to the ground for better detection.
- Using magnets before and after clipping, depending upon con-tamination levels, is a time-saver. If a lot of surface clutter is en-countered, then the magnet can be swept over the cell before the detector to deploy. Correspondingly, after clipping, the magnet can be deployed on selected targets, particularly around red chips that can then be discounted if the magnet detects and lifts the offend-ing signal from the surface.

In a conventional minefield, the standard operating procedure for MAG Cambodia states that the safety distance between any working deminers should not be less than 25m. However, this distance is largely because all deminers work independently, and 25m is the default dis-tance that most demining organizations worldwide adopt in anti-per-sonal minefields. Due to the nature of the methodology covered above, not all activities are deemed equally hazardous or potentially so. There-fore, the authors devised a system pertaining to the nature of the activ-ity shown in Table 1 (next page) giving various distances that should not be exceeded.

Use of and Adaptations to Long-Reach Tools. MAG used Honda long-reach tools for strimming before it began using LAM. However, since MAG began using LAM with HSTAMIDS, a range of tools designed for gardening produced by Stihl, a German manufacturer, were procured. These tools were modified in many ways to adapt them for the demining industry. For example, the shafts MAG uses are half shafts held together in the center by a coupling, which has also been modified. This facilitates quick tool change—a shaft change...
takes one minute, whereas a complete tool change can take up to 15 minutes and can cause more wear and tear on the tool head connection. MAG also radically modified the shafts to make them more robust. By changing the outer casing from aluminum to steel and by exchanging various components manufactured in plastic to steel alternatives, the working life of these components has been greatly lengthened.

Gear heads supplied by Stihl are flimsy for the type of application MAG requires and have been replaced by a much cheaper and more robust Honda version that has stronger bevel gears and an outer casing. Along with the introduction of a variety of attachments, the properly designed shafting procedure was refined. By sweeping the strimmer from right to left, for example, in a half circular motion, the cut vegetation is dragged toward the red rope and thus reduces vegetation left in the cleared area, allowing part of the raking and blowing. Using different cutting blades and circular saw attachments has optimized the activity further.

Introducing a 90-degree plastic-encased polyvinyl chloride band to the blower’s end also helped develop the blowing technique. This change allows the debris to be more easily blown into the cleared area, minimizing the operator’s effort. The blowing is done in roughly the same manner as strimming, bearing in mind that MAG is not looking for a metalminefield. Drive shafts were modified, and the locating pin was re-manner as strimming, bearing in mind MAG is not looking for a metal-mizing the operator’s effort. The blowing is done in roughly the same manner as strimming, bearing in mind that MAG is not looking for a metal-mizing the operator’s effort. The blowing is done in roughly the same manner as strimming, bearing in mind that MAG is not looking for a metal-mizing the operator’s effort. The blowing is done in roughly the same manner as strimming, bearing in mind that MAG is not looking for a metal-mizing the operator’s effort. The blowing is done in roughly the same manner as strimming, bearing in mind that MAG is not looking for a metal

Table 1. LAM safety distances.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Hazardous</th>
<th>Non-Hazardous</th>
<th>Safe Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cutting</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick search</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeding</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeding</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raking</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blowing</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late preparation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual excavation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>25m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid excavation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>30m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>2m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The basic design of the pineapple and the alignment of drill heads maximizes the potential for digging.

Sometimes overhanging trees and bamboo must be removed before clearance. Teams are supplied with chainsaw attachments to deal with the heavier branches and bamboo shoots. These saw attachments on the end of a half shaft are easier to transport and operate than a completely independent chainsaw.

Various digging devices were trialed and mostly discarded, although the cutting blades designed by engineers at NVESD have had some success in soil that is not densely compacted and is largely free of rocks and roots.

The rapid-excavation drill uses the pineapple drill head, which is the mainstay of mechanical-extraction activity.

Two Methods of Clearance Utilizing HSTAMIDS

The agreement between MAG and NVESD is that HSTAMIDS should be the primary clearance tool, therefore, the lateral approach methodology was largely developed with this in mind. More recently, the purchase of additional HSTAMIDS units, funded by the Office of Weapons Removal and Abatement in the U.S. Department of State’s Bureau of Political-Military Affairs (PM/WRA), is allowing MAG to experiment with other approaches outside of the NVESD operational field evaluation.

The OFE methodology has evolved as three-by-three-person sub-teams, each with an HSTAMIDS detector and all the ancillary equipment needed to conduct operations. Each sub-team is allocated an area of the minefield and concentrates its efforts in this area only. Each member of the sub-team is multi-skilled in as many of the activities as possible needed to conduct operations, thus allowing for flexibility and continuity. These three individuals can operate the various phases independently and without strict supervision. Each team member knows what the next step is and equips themselves with the necessary equipment from a focal point (rest area) close to their working lane, which has been found to work very well provided that the sub-team is continuously allocated between five and seven lanes minimum to allow for observation of safety distances while conducting constant activity.

Teams outside the OFE are equipped with one HSTAMIDS detector and two operators and they support a number of metal detector operators. The team is roughly divided into two parts. On average, five or six conventional metal-detector operators are deployed, conducting quick search and marking all signals in lanes with red chips. This practice ensures that the HSTAMIDS has sufficient work to sustain it for an entire day. Later, these operators also manually excavate red chips that remain after HSTAMIDS has verified them.

The other team members (up to six in a standard MAG mine-action team configuration) perform the other activities, which revolve around the long-reach tools. These are strimming, cutting, sawing, blowing and rapid excavation drill. All of the operators are also involved in marking when the HSTAMIDS is being used as the verification detector.

This methodology needs further study to ascertain whether this procedure should be altered to remain flexible in order to account for varying contamination levels. Metal contamination is the main factor governing the number of metal detectors deployed at any given time because this affects whether more than one HSTAMIDS detector is necessary.

Productivity

MAG continually strives to increase efficiency and effectiveness, and the introduction of HSTAMIDS has greatly enhanced its ability to do so, as illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 (page 67). LAM success significantly improved with the introduction of HSTAMIDS to the toolbox.

Sometimes overhanging trees and bamboo must be removed before clearance. Teams are supplied with chainsaw attachments to deal with the heavier branches and bamboo shoots. These saw attachments on the end of a half shaft are easier to transport and operate than a completely independent chainsaw.

Various digging devices were trialed and mostly discarded, although the cutting blades designed by engineers at NVESD have had some success in soil that is not densely compacted and is largely free of rocks and roots.

The rapid-excavation drill uses the pineapple drill head, which is the mainstay of mechanical-extraction activity.
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The agreement between MAG and NVESD is that HSTAMIDS should be the primary clearance tool, therefore, the lateral approach methodology was largely developed with this in mind. More recently, the purchase of additional HSTAMIDS units, funded by the Office of Weapons Removal and Abatement in the U.S. Department of State’s Bureau of Political-Military Affairs (PM/WRA), is allowing MAG to experiment with other approaches outside of the NVESD operational field evaluation.

The OFE methodology has evolved as three-by-three-person sub-teams, each with an HSTAMIDS detector and all the ancillary equipment needed to conduct operations. Each sub-team is allocated an area of the minefield and concentrates its efforts in this area only. Each member of the sub-team is multi-skilled in as many of the activities as possible needed to conduct operations, thus allowing for flexibility and continuity. These three individuals can operate the various phases independently and without strict supervision. Each team member knows what the next step is and equips themselves with the necessary equipment from a focal point (rest area) close to their working lane, which has been found to work very well provided that the sub-team is continuously allocated between five and seven lanes minimum to allow for observation of safety distances while conducting constant activity.

Teams outside the OFE are equipped with one HSTAMIDS detector and two operators and they support a number of metal detector operators. The team is roughly divided into two parts. On average, five or six conventional metal-detector operators are deployed, conducting quick search and marking all signals in lanes with red chips. This practice ensures that the HSTAMIDS has sufficient work to sustain it for an entire day. Later, these operators also manually excavate red chips that remain after HSTAMIDS has verified them.

The other team members (up to six in a standard MAG mine-action team configuration) perform the other activities, which revolve around the long-reach tools. These are strimming, cutting, sawing, blowing and rapid excavation drill. All of the operators are also involved in marking when the HSTAMIDS is being used as the verification detector.

This methodology needs further study to ascertain whether this procedure should be altered to remain flexible in order to account for varying contamination levels. Metal contamination is the main factor governing the number of metal detectors deployed at any given time because this affects whether more than one HSTAMIDS detector is necessary.

Productivity

MAG continually strives to increase efficiency and effectiveness, and the introduction of HSTAMIDS has greatly enhanced its ability to do so, as illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 (page 67). LAM success significantly improved with the introduction of HSTAMIDS to the toolbox.

Conclusion

The methods MAG Cambodia uses when deploying HSTAMIDS with the lateral-approach methodology exhibit certain advantages when compared with the traditional one-man, one-lane approach, particularly with regard to productivity. The analysis of HSTAMIDS and LAM is still an ongoing process within the program with further technological advances and improvements in productivity expected.

The various outlined approaches are open for improvement and adjustment, with expectations that as HSTAMIDS is introduced into other programs, the improvements in these methodologies will ensure that HSTAMIDS and LAM continue to give significant benefits over standard metal detectors and more conventional clearance methodology.